

UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA

**TEACHERS PERCEPTION TOWARDS CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES
IN INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN THE AHANTA WEST MUNICIPALITY**



SAFIA BANGA ISSAH

MASTER OF EDUCATION

2023

UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA

**TEACHERS PERCEPTION TOWARDS CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES
IN INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN THE AHANTA WEST MUNICIPALITY**

SAFIA BANGA ISSAH



**A Dissertation in the Department of Early Childhood Education,
Faculty of Educational Studies, submitted to the school of
Graduate Studies in partial fulfilment**

**of the requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Education
(Early Childhood Education)
In the University of Education, Winneba**

MARCH, 2023

DECLARATION

Student's Declaration

I, Safia Banga Issah, declare that this thesis, with the exception of quotations and references contained in published works which have been identified and duly acknowledged is entirely my own original work and it has not been submitted either in part or whole for another degree in this university or elsewhere.

Signature:

Date:


Supervisor's Declaration

I hereby declare that the preparation and presentation of the thesis was supervised in accordance with guidelines on supervision of thesis as laid down by the University of Education, Winneba.

Name of Supervisor: Dr Awini Adam

Signature:.....

Date:.....



DEDICATION

I wholeheartedly dedicate this work to my husband and the rest of the family.




ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincerest gratitude goes to the almighty Allah for the protection and blessings in the course of carrying out this study. I would like to extend my profound gratitude to my husband Johnson Afuugu Azure for his support on my education and career. Besides I thank my parents and the rest of the family for their support and prayers. Finally, I would like to acknowledge my supervisor; Dr. Awini Adam, a senior lecturer in the Department of Special Education and other lecturers for their input in the study. I am grateful to them all.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Content	Page
DECLARATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
ABSTRACT	xi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.0 Background to the Study	1
1.1 Statement of the Problem	7
1.2 Purpose of the Study	8
1.3 Objectives of the Study	8
1.4 Research Questions	9
1.5 Significance of the Study	9
1.6 Delimitation of the Study	10
1.7 Definition of Terms	10
1.8 Organisation of the Study	11
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.0 Introduction	12
2.1 Theoretical Framework	12
2.2 The Concepts of Inclusive Education	14
2.3 Benefits of Inclusive Education	27



2.3.1 Academic Benefits	28
2.3.2 Social Benefits	30
2.4 Perceptions of teachers towards children with disabilities in inclusive schools	31
2.5 Factors Influencing Teachers' Perceptions towards Children with Disabilities in Inclusive Education	35
2.5.1 Teacher-related variables	35
2.5.2 Gender	36
2.5.3 Teaching experience	36
2.5.4 Grade Level Taught	37
2.5.5 Training	38
2.5.6 Teachers' Beliefs	39
2.5.7 Teachers' Socio-Political Views	40
2.5.8 Educational Environment-Related Variables	41
2.6 Teachers' perceptions towards Teaching of Children with Disability in Inclusive Schools	44
2.7 Challenges Teachers Face in Teaching Children with Disability in Inclusive Schools	53
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	61
3.0 Introduction	61
3.2 Research Approach	61
3.2 Research Design	61
3.3 Study Area	62
3.4 Population	66
3.5 Sample and Sampling Techniques	67
3.6 Instruments	68

3.7 Validity and Reliability of Instruments	73
3.8 Data Collection Procedures	74
3.9 Data Analysis Procedures	75
3.10 Ethical Considerations	76
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	78
4.0 Introduction	78
4.1 Demographic Data	79
4.2 Analysis of the Research Questions	81
4.3 Analysis of Interview Guide	94
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	101
5.0 Introduction	101
5.1 Summary of Key Findings	102
5.2 Conclusion	103
5.3 Recommendations	103
5.4 Suggestions for Further Research	104
5.5 Limitations of the Study	105
REFERENCES	106
APPENDICES	126
APPENDIX A	126
APPENDIX B	129
APPENDIX C	131



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
4.1: Demographic Data of Teachers' Ages	79
4.2: Academic Status of Respondents	80
4.3: Teaching Experience of Teachers	80
4.4: Perceptions of Teachers towards Inclusive Education	81



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1: Shows Ahanta West Municipality	66
2: Demographic data of Respondents in Relation to Gender	79



ABSTRACT

The researcher observed that, teachers do not feel that they are prepared or competent to teach both regular learners and learners with special educational needs. The main reason is that they did not have sufficient training to deal with these inclusive educational activities (Hay, Asten, Mills, Kumar, Pawlby, & Sharp, 2001). These findings are consistent with those which underline the fact that teachers who have an opened perception over the inclusion are more confident in their own abilities to implement the inclusive education (Buell, Hallam, Gamel-McCormick, & Scheer, 1999). The design employed for the study was a cross-sectional survey because the focus of the study was to gain insight into the teachers' perceptions towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality. According to Spector (2019), cross-sectional survey research involves the collection of data in order to test hypothesis or answer research questions concerning the current status of the subject of study. Surveys can, he states, be useful for gathering facts in order to establish important and useful information for the educational purposes. One factor that Clough and Lindsay (1991) consistently found to be associated with more positive perceptions is the availability of support services at the classroom and the school levels (Clough & Lindsay, 1991). Here, support could be seen as both physical (resources, teaching materials, IT equipment, a restructured physical environment) and human (learning support assistants, special teachers, speech therapists) (Clough & Lindsay, 1991). This study has attempted to assess teachers' perceptions towards inclusion and identify the factors that influence these perceptions. The success of inclusion or organised placement of children with disabilities in mainstream classrooms largely depends on teachers' perceptions towards students with Special Education Needs (SEN) and their knowledge on how to properly educate them. In this study the general perceptions of inclusion were not very encouraging; teachers appear to recognise the value and benefits associated with inclusive practice in mainstream classrooms. The study did report significant barriers to successful inclusion; the most common concern being inadequate training in inclusive practice.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Background to the Study

Human rights constitute a prominent issue in the international discourse and great initiatives are taken from the Nongovernmental Organizations and governments worldwide for the protection of the rights of all the people, regardless of the specific characteristics they might possess, Tsutsui, & Wotipka, (2004). Despite that, specific groups of people still experience segregation and marginalization due to their gender, socio-economic background, cultural and religion background and special physical or mental ability. Education, as a core part of every society, is seen by scholars as the means to combat exclusion of these people and eliminate discriminatory perceptions Sensoy & DiAngelo, (2017). However, education is also a basic human right and, thus, the access to it and the high quality of it should be safeguarded for all the school-aged children at a global level (Lindqvist, 1998; Peters, 2003). More specifically, everyone should be entitled to have access to free, compulsory, non-discriminatory, inclusive education of high quality, which would respect and welcome the diverse individual characteristics and would provide equal opportunities to all (UNESCO, 2005, p.12).

One of the groups of people facing marginalization in several aspects of their life is this of people with disabilities, i.e., speech impediment, visual or hearing impairment, mobility problems, emotional disorders, autistic spectrum disorders, mental retardation and a wide range of other mental difficulties (Ordovery & Boundy, 1991). People encountering a form of disability are estimated to exceed one billion, with children and youth covering 10% of this population and children in Europe 2% Wonka, (2015). When it comes to education, disabled children fall into the category

of children with special educational needs, in which other categories of children, like these with different language, ethnicity, religion, economic status, are also included. It is of great significance to be noted here that, from now onwards in the current study, when the term 'SEN' will be employed from the researcher will be referred only to children with disabilities and, specifically, school-aged children with intellectual difficulties and behavioral disorders.

In Ghana, contrary to the developing countries, children with SEN are not denied their access to education. However, despite the fact that Ghana policies and national legislations cope with the issue of inclusive education of them to a lesser or a greater extent, the education they end up receiving does not always comprise the previously listed elements, due to lack of knowledge about the special educational needs of each type of disorder, limited material and human resources at schools' disposal and perceptions that favor marginalization (UNESCO, 2015). This kind of education intends to simply 'integrate' students with SEN in regular schools, by forcing them to comply with the already existing mainstream settings and by translating their inability to adapt as failure to become educated. Integration of children in mainstream schools or their placement in schools that separate them from the rest of the learner's population seems to lead to their stigmatization and institutionalization, a phenomenon that goes beyond children with SEN childhood and school life, to accompany them in their social and private life as adults. This is translated into exclusion from the activities taking place in the society where they live and also into deprivation of their right to work, something that reinforces the prevalence of perception that people with SEN are 'different' and, therefore, should be treated on a different way (Young, 1999, cited in Cohen, 2015).

As opposed to segregation or integration, 'inclusion' in regular schools encompasses the idea that children with and without SEN not only learn together but learn according to what they can learn and participate to activities to the extent they are able to participate. In that sense, inclusive schools are environments that accept and welcome the diversity and provide to each student, not the same, but equal opportunities to develop its academic and social skills inside school, with the goal being the child's wellbeing and in a long term its success in life (UNESCO, 1994). In countries, which support and promote inclusive schooling for children with SEN through their legislation and the practices being implemented in schools after the provision of sufficient support to them, children become aware that they are all different but equal and, apart from that, they learn how to efficiently learn together Hornby & Hornby, (2014). It is clear that students with SEN, as all the other students, are influenced by environmental factors, e.g., policies and perceptions for them and their right to become educated together with their peers, on a direct and indirect way. Perceptions of people in the society, in particular of individuals that come in touch with children with SEN on a daily basis, are capable of having a great impact on their development.

When it comes to education, these people are the teachers, who are responsible for sharing values and delivering knowledge to their students. Their perceptions on learners with SEN and their inclusion to regular schools have been investigated, at an international and Ghana level, by many researchers throughout the years. However, no one has ever made a comparison between these perceptions, especially in Ghana, where high standards are set in education with the development of educational policies that cover every learner.

Inclusion it is a widely accepted programme, according to United Nations Education, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO, 2005). Gadagbui (2008) opined that inclusive education ensures the participation of all learners in school and involves restructuring the culture, policies and practices in schools so that they can respond to the diverse needs of students in their localities. The goal of inclusive education is to break down barriers that separate general and special education and make the included students feel liked, and become members of the general education classroom. This inclusive system provides individual students with disabilities opportunities and confidence in learning independently concepts, skills and strategies that their counterparts without disabilities are exposed to. The fundamental principle of inclusive education is that all children should learn together, wherever possible, regardless of difficulties or differences they may have. As such, inclusive schools must recognize and respond to the diverse needs of their students, accommodating both different learning needs, pace and rates of learning and ensuring quality education to all through appropriate curricula, organizational arrangements, teaching strategies, resource use and partnership with their communities.

The Act 715 of the Republic of Ghana, entitled Disability Act, 2006, emphasizes inclusive education for children with disabilities. Section 20 of the Act states that; A person responsible for admission into a school or other institution of learning shall no refuse to give admission to a person with disability on account of the disability unless the person has been assessed by the Ministry responsible for education in collaboration with the Ministries responsible for health and social welfare to be in a special school for children or persons with disability (Disability Act, 2006).

Children with disabilities deserve proper education; and they have a right to it, so those who are able to work in the normal classroom environment should be included.

As teachers who are willing to teach these children would face many challenges and issues, they may need specialized training, and develop personal attributes such as patience to deal with these children. To achieve this, teachers need to work with children's disabilities and develop awareness of inherent challenges and changes it could lead to (Coles, 2009).

Some researchers emphasize teachers' perceptions as a decisive component in ensuring the successful inclusion of students with special education needs (De Boer, Pijl, & Minnaert, 2011; Dulčić & Bakota, 2008). Simply put, perceptions of teachers can enhance or impede the implementation of inclusion. Teachers who personally support inclusive practice and accept the concept of inclusion can more readily adapt the learning environment to the diverse needs of students and use a variety of approaches and teaching strategies (Ryan, 2009). In addition to general perceptions towards inclusion, researchers most frequently study factors that have an impact on teacher perceptions, such as their gender, age, experience, professional training and education, as well as the types and level of impairments that children have (Forlin, 2005; Scruggs & Mastropieri, 2006). Other factors that influence teachers "perceptions towards inclusion have also been studied, such as the implementation of inclusion at school, sources of support and the distribution of resources, support from the school administration and colleagues, organization framework (Jerlinder, Danermark, & Gill, 2010; Goubert, Craig, Vervoort, Morley, Sullivan, Williams & Crombez, 2005). The statement means that persons with disabilities have the right to be in regular schools and no head of the learning institution should deny them admission. It also means that, the implementation of inclusive education is now mandatory and, therefore, teachers, parents, and all stakeholders should unite to make it a reality. Beliefs about disability, ethnicity and teachers' perceptions can influence

the practice of inclusive education, the quality of educational materials and instruction students receive (Leyser & Tappendorf, 2001; Sharma & Desai, 2002).

Many regular education teachers who feel unprepared and fearful to work with learners with disabilities in the main classes display frustration, anger and negative perceptions. Additionally, access to resources and specialist support affects teachers' confidence and perceptions towards inclusive education (Bailey Jr, Aytch, Odom, Symons, & Wolery, 1999). Avramidis, Bayliss, & Burden, (2000) argued that when teachers gain extensive professional knowledge needed to implement inclusive education, they may succumb to it. Similarly, (Cook, Heath, & Thompson, 2000) reported that as teachers have experience with students, specifically those with disabilities, their confidence to each of them is likely to grow, which could alter their negative perceptions. Early grade teachers need to be willing and able to teach children with disabilities in their classrooms. If these teachers are unwilling to teach children with disabilities or have unrealistically low expectations of themselves when considering teaching children with disabilities, mainstreaming will not be successful. Therefore, the researcher wanted to investigate the perceptions of early grade teachers towards inclusion of children with special educational needs in inclusive basic schools within the Ahanta West Municipality.

Teachers' perceptions are influenced by the nature and severity of the disabling condition presented to them (child-related variables) and less by teacher-related variables, (Seidu, Mereku, Avoke, Ekumah, Tamanja, Adzahlie-Mensah & Hartwell, 2008). Furthermore, educational environment-related variables, such as the availability of physical and human support are associated with perceptions towards


inclusion. Therefore, there is the assumption that the successful implementation of any inclusive policy is largely dependent on educators being positive about it.

In the Ahanta West Municipal for instance, there are few special education resource teachers attached to selected regular schools that work hand in hand with general education teachers and are responsible for teaching and meeting the learning needs of children with disabilities in classrooms. Special education resource teachers are trained to operate in inclusive schools, where they serve children with their specific categories of disabilities whilst regular classroom teachers are trained to operate in regular education classrooms. Gadagbui (2008) was of the view that, “unless all teachers are fully prepared, inclusive education will not be realized” (p. 2). This suggests that teachers’ perceptions are critical in ensuring the success of inclusive practices since teachers’ acceptance of the policy of inclusion is likely to affect their commitment to implementing it.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

The researcher observed that, teachers do not feel that they are prepared or competent to teach both regular learners and learners with special educational needs. The main reason is that they did not have sufficient training to deal with these inclusive educational activities (Hay, Asten, Mills, Kumar, Pawlby, & Sharp, 2001). These findings are consistent with those which underline the fact that teachers who have an opened perception over the inclusion are more confident in their own abilities to implement the inclusive education (Buell, Hallam, Gamel-McCormick, & Scheer, 1999). Previous studies support the idea that teachers perceive students with behavioural or emotional disorders as being more difficult to work with in the classroom than the other children with different disabilities, Scanlon & Barnes-Holmes, (2013). There are many mainstream teachers who believe that children

considered “different” are not their responsibility, which revealed the fact that there are many schools where the medical pathological model still dominates the educational activity (Angelides, Stylianou & Gibbs, 2006). Another factor which has a great influence on teachers’ attitudes is the previous experience with children with special educational needs. Those who had a more frequent contact with people with disabilities have a more positive attitude towards inclusion than those who experienced little contact (Larsson, Adolfsson-Erici, Parkkonen, Pettersson, Berg, Olsson & Förlin, 1999). A large number of teachers believe that the successful implementation of inclusive practices should be based on a review of the curriculum and of the teaching strategies used in classes with children with special educational needs (Ghergut, 2010). The pertinent questions to address, therefore, are to examine the extent of awareness of teachers on the concept of inclusive education, the teachers’ perception towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality.



1.2 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of the study was to investigate teachers’ perception towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of the study are to;

1. Investigate teachers' attitudes and perspectives regarding the execution of inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipal.
2. Identify the factors that influence teachers’ perceptions towards inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality.

3. To find out how teachers' perception influence teachers practices in the Ahanta West Municipality.
4. Investigate any inherent challenges teachers face in teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools in the Ahanta West Municipality.

1.4 Research Questions

The current study was guided by the following research questions:

1. What are the attitudes and perspectives of teachers regarding the implementation of inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipal?
2. What factors influence the perception of teachers in the Ahanta West Municipality towards inclusive education?
3. How do teachers' perceptions impact their approach to teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools in the Ahanta West Municipality?
4. What are the inherent challenges teachers encounter when instructing children with disabilities in inclusive school settings in the Ahanta West Municipality?

1.5 Significance of the Study

The study would reveal about teachers' perceptions towards inclusive education with children with special needs in the inclusive schools in Ahanta west Municipality. This study would help to find out early grade teachers' perceptions towards inclusive education and children with disabilities in inclusive schools within the Ahanta West Municipality. This would enable the school to find suitable means of including all children in the teaching and learning process. In addition, the results of the study would reveal how perceptions of early grade teachers in the municipality influence their teaching of children with disabilities in inclusive schools. Besides, the findings

will enable teachers to explore factors that influence their perceptions in the municipality towards inclusive education.

It will also enable teachers to investigate any inherent challenges which they face in teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools. The findings would also enable the teachers to find ways of eliminating any inherent challenges which they face in teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools. In conclusion, the study would help school administrators to develop appropriate ways of encouraging early grade teachers towards inclusion of children with special educational needs in inclusive basic schools within the Ahanta West. This thesis would form the basis for further studies, and would also serve as a vital document for future research about perceptions of early grade teachers towards inclusion of children with disabilities. Finally, it is anticipated that this study would generate additional interest in other researchers to undertake similar studies in other municipalities.

1.6 Delimitation of the Study

The study was delimited to early grade teachers in inclusive schools in Ahanta West Municipality. The study was also delimited to some inclusive public basic schools in the Ahanta West Municipality in the Western Region in Ghana. Besides, the study was delimited to the perceptions of early grade teachers towards inclusive education in the Ahanta West. The study was delimited to some selected schools because there was lack of funds to aid the researcher to travel to many schools.

1.7 Definition of Terms

Education may be defined as the process of imparting knowledge, skills, values, norms and culture from one generation to another in a society. In the context of this

study education is the process of receiving or giving systematic instruction, especially at a school.

Perception: the ability to see, hear, or become aware of something through the senses: “the normal limits to human perception”.

Inclusive education: Inclusion according to UNESCO (2005) is associated with commitment to the term “Education for all” by identifying the requirement and urgency of providing education for all children, youth and adults with disabilities. In the context of this study inclusion is the action or state of including or of being included within a group or structure.

Children with disabilities: It refers to a wide range of disabilities and conditions that place limitations on children's learning of school tasks. These children include those with hearing problem, children with intellectual disabilities and children with intellectual disabilities.

1.8 Organisation of the Study

The study is organized in five (5) chapters. Chapter One of the study introduces the study. It presents the background to the study, statement of the problem, objectives of the study, the significance of the study as well as the scope of the study.

Chapter Two reviews relevant literature. The third chapter focuses on the methodology. This chapter presents the profiles of the study area, the research approach, research design, sample and sampling procedures, data collection procedures, data analysis procedures and ethical issues. Chapter four deals with the data analysis and discussion of results and chapter five focuses on the summary of the findings, conclusion and recommendations of the study.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the literature reviewed for the study. The review first covered the theoretical framework followed by a review on the key themes raised in the research questions.

- Theoretical Framework.
- The Concepts of Inclusive Education
- Inclusive Education in Ghana
- Benefits of Inclusive Education
- Perceptions of Teachers Towards Children with Disabilities in Inclusive Schools
- The factors that Influence Teachers Perception towards Inclusive Education
- The challenges Teachers face in Teaching of Children with Disability in Inclusive Schools
- Summary of Literature Review.

2.1 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is the self-determination theory (Deci and Ryan, 1985). Self-determination theory is an empirically derived theory of human motivation and personality in social context that differentiates motivation in terms of being autonomous and controlled (Van Lange, Kruglanski, & Higgins, 2012). Deci and Ryan (2000) proposed a self-determination continuum to describe motivational variables with different degrees of self-determination. In explaining the concept of self-determination, Deci and Ryan identified three types of motivation; namely, intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation and a motivation. Intrinsically motivated

behaviours are those that are engaged for their own sake; in other words, for the pleasure and satisfaction derived from performing them (Deci, Cascio & Krusell, 1975). Extrinsic motivation refers to activities that are carried out as a means to an end and not for their own sake. Deci and Ryan (2000) further proposed a self-determination continuum to describe different forms of extrinsic motivation that vary in their relative autonomy or self-determination. From the least autonomous to the most autonomous, they are external regulation, introjected regulation, identified regulation, and integrated regulation. The first two types of extrinsic motivation fall into the category of controlled (non- autonomous) motivation, whereas the latter two, along with intrinsic motivation, fall into the group of autonomous motivation. Both external and introjected regulations are controlled types of motivation since, in both cases, individuals do not identify with the value of a behaviour for their own self-selected goals (Deci & Ryan 2000). When a person engages in a behaviour because he or she finds it interesting (intrinsic motivation) or personally meaningful (identified and integrated regulation), then he or she is motivated by autonomous types of motivation. In contrast, if a person engages in a behaviour because he or she feels pressured by an external force, whether it is other people's demand or threat, an imposed reward contingency (external regulation), or his or her own sense of guilt, anxiety or ego-involvement (introjected regulation), then he or she is motivated by controlled types of motivation (Guo, 2007).

Based on Rheinberg and Engeser, (2018). conceptualization, individuals are intrinsically motivated if they perform a certain activity for its own sake, and the pleasure and satisfaction derived from engaging in the activity. On the other hand, they are extrinsically motivated if they act in order to achieve some objective that is separable from this activity. The theory asserts that the effect of rewards on intrinsic

motivation depends on how the recipients cognitively interpret the rewards. Specifically, every reward has both a controlling aspect (i.e., the reward contingency separating desired from undesired action) and an informational aspect (i.e., the feedback conveying information about the actor's competence). Its controlling aspect is believed to thwart individuals' need for autonomy, thus undermining intrinsic motivation, whereas its informational aspect (assuming the reward is obtained) is believed to increase their perceived competence, thus enhancing intrinsic motivation. Therefore, the relative salience of these two aspects will determine whether a reward undermines or enhances intrinsic motivation.

Motivation, according to Ryan and Deci (2000), results from perceptions of helplessness or lack of self-efficacy, competence or valuation of the activity. According to Ryan and Deci, people have not only different amounts, but also different kinds of motivation. That is, different people have different orientations of motivation – intrinsic or extrinsic – well as different levels of motivation. In context of this study, regular teachers are not motivated to teach special education needs children in inclusive schools because of limited knowledge on inclusion, resource constraints, and other challenges. Hence, they have poor attitudes towards inclusion.

2.2 The Concepts of Inclusive Education

Inclusive education means that everyone should take part in society on an equal basis- academically, socially and culturally. According to the Norwegian Directorate for Education and Training (2008), inclusion is both a process and a goal, where the educational institution should accommodate the individual perceptions and needs in the best possible manner. This requires diversity and adaptation in the educational program to enable each individual to participate more and receive more benefits from

being an active member of community. UNESCO (2005) elaborates on how an inclusive education system should be by stating that: An „inclusive education system can only be formed if normal schools become more inclusive. This means that, if schools improve at educating all children in their communities. The Conference proclaimed that: „regular schools with an inclusive direction are the most active means of fighting discriminatory attitudes, building welcoming societies, establishing an inclusive society and attaining education for all. Moreover, they provide an effective education to many children and improve competence and ultimately the cost-effectiveness of the whole education system.

Armstrong (2015), defines inclusive education is a process of increasing the participation of all learners in schools including those with disabilities. It is about restructuring the cultures, policies and practices in schools so that they respond to the diversity of learners in their locality. According to Ankutse (n.d.), inclusive education concept focuses on those groups which, in the past, have been excluded from educational opportunities. The groups include children living in poverty, children from ethnic and linguistic minorities, girls, children from remote areas, children with disabilities and other special educational needs, and children who are gifted and talented. The two latter groups, according to Armstrong, are often the most marginalised, both within education and in the wider society.

Mmbaga (2002) argued that inclusive education needs to be part of the whole school equal opportunity policy; and in this case, children with learning difficulties, girls“ and boys“ learning needs would be incorporated into the curriculum and the school learning environment. According to Ainscow and Miles (2008), inclusion means that students with disabilities attend general school programmes and are enrolled in age-

appropriate classes for 100% of their schooling. UNESCO (2005) described inclusive education as a process of strengthening the capacity of the education system to reach out to all learners. Mmbaga (2002) gives some of the elements of inclusive education. Customary education was always being inculcated in the process of socialization, child rearing, formal, informal, and non-formal learning facilitated by parents, siblings, peers, elders, community leaders, artists, artisans, and experts in such areas as language and oratory, arbitrary and legal issues, health, plants, metallurgy, astronomy and military science in fact by any adult. The process was non-discriminatory, functional and took place in and was relevant to the local community. These are also the current indicators of inclusive education.

According to Ocloo and Subbey (2008), an inclusive school should have the following characteristics: (a) the use of adaptation of teaching and learning materials, (b) flexible curriculum, (c) supportive methodologies to students with learning barriers, (d) proper organization of the classes, (e) examination system, and (f) friendly physical environment and infrastructure. Furthermore, the authors stressed some factors to be fixed in inclusive education including (a) education needs to be non-discriminatory in terms of disability, culture and gender; (b) education should involve all in the community with no exceptions, (c) learners should have equal rights to access the culturally valued curriculum as full time appropriate regular classroom, and (d) there should be an emphasis on diversity rather than assimilation. Kauffman, Nelson, Simpson, & Ward, (2017), opined that education is a fundamental right of every child. Some children experience difficulties in school, ranging from problems with concentration, learning, language, and perception to problems with behavior and/or making and keeping friends. Regular education is designed to meet the needs of average learners. Children with disabilities may not profit fully from the regular

classroom experiences. Due to the inclusion movement in education, learners with disabilities are attending public school with typically developing peers. These learners are also attending general education classes together. In the past, students with disabilities were taught in separate classrooms, and in some cases separate schools. The transition to inclusive education has not been easy for these two diverse groups of students, both academically and socially. For many reasons, students with disabilities have socialization issues. Many have behaviour problems or act inappropriately in social situations which calls for effective behavioural management strategies by teachers. These types of behaviours are disconcerting to typically developing children who do not have experience interacting with individuals with disabilities. This can make having peers with disabilities in the classroom an uncomfortable experience for many students without disabilities, Hershkowitz, Lamb & Horowitz, (2007). The experience can be equally uncomfortable for learners with disabilities who are lonely and depressed because they are unable to make friends in their classes. Many students with disabilities may not understand why they are unable to socialize. Unfortunately, they do understand what it feels like to be rejected. The emotional pain from rejection and friendlessness can have damaging consequences on the psychosocial development of children (Smart-Richman & Leary, (2009). These consequences can perpetuate throughout adolescence and into adulthood. In view of this, the study seeks to investigate teachers' behavioural management strategies that are useful for learners with intellectual disability.

However, due to increasing demands on teachers to raise achievement scores on state-wide testing, little time remains to provide social skills instruction. Life skills and social skills training programs have been placed on the backburner in special education and have been replaced with an emphasis on raising test scores. While student academic

achievement is a crucial component of special education, behavioural management, life skills and social skills training are areas that are necessary to promote a healthy and successful life after the school years are over (Westwood & Graham, 2003). Inclusion provides opportunities for the development of appropriate perceptions towards people with a range of disabilities. Exposure to students of all types on a daily basis allows typical learners to see that, just like themselves, students with disabilities have strengths and weakness, and good days and bad days (Westwood & Graham, 2003). Research has long established that changing attitudes towards people with disabilities requires, both, information about these disabilities and experience with people with disabilities (Fisher & Purcal, 2017). Inclusion facilitates both of these requirements. With the appropriate supports in place, students with intellectual disabilities can achieve a high quality of life in many different aspects. Curriculum and instruction must be carefully modified to help these learners reach their potential in both academics and other functional areas such as independent living. While these students will have limitations in much adaptive behaviour, these limitations will co-exist alongside strengths in other areas within the individual.

Independence and self- reliance should always be primary goals of all instructional strategies employed with students with intellectual disabilities, Wehmeyer & Palmer, (2003). Students with disabilities have a wide range of needs and most exhibit behavioural problems. Training them to overcome the limitations in adaptive behaviour is the primary aim of any individual who is working for persons with Intellectual disability, (Kroese, Dagnan & Loumidis, 2005). A few of them also have problem behavioural posing challenges to the educator. A problem or a challenging behaviour in the individual interferes with his acquiring new skills, or strengthening old skills or it interferes in someone else's activities. The behaviour may be harmful to

him or may causes harm or disrespect to others. If the problem behaviour occurs more frequently or for longer period of time or is very severe in nature, then those do require management, Matson, Sipes, Fodstad & Fitzgerald, (2011). It is important to manage problem behaviours in children because problem behaviours may interfere with learning, social acceptance, harm the child or others and at severe level they contribute to the burden of care-giving and also institutionalisation. To be successful in inclusive settings intellectually disabled students need to demonstrate classroom behaviours that are consistent with teacher's demands and expectations and that promote socializations with peers (Pappas, Kauffman, Andes, Benjamin Jr, Calandra, Edwards Jr, & Sobel, 2009). Appropriate social and behavioural skills will allow students with intellectual disabilities to fully integrate into the social and behavioural skills, which will in turn, allow them to fully integrate into their school and community. Unfortunately, due to internal and external factors existing in general education classrooms, learners with intellectual disabilities may exhibit behaviours that interfere with their learning and socialization and disrupt the learning environment (Diamond, Da Fonte & Boesch, 2016). Therefore, teachers may need to employ a variety of strategies to increase appropriate and decrease inappropriate social and behaviour skills. So the inclusive education programme should focus on establishing and maintaining positive peer relationship of the children with intellectual disability.

The emotional pain from rejection and friendlessness can have damaging consequences on the psychosocial development of children. These consequences can perpetuate throughout adolescence and into adulthood, Spear, & Swartzwelder, (2014). In view of this, the study seeks to investigate teachers' behavioural management strategies that are useful for learners with intellectual disability. However, due to increasing

demands on teachers to raise achievement scores on state-wide testing, little time remains to provide social skills instruction. Life skills and social skills training programs have been placed on the backburner in special education and have been replaced with an emphasis on raising test scores, Krasner (2000). While student academic achievement is a crucial component of special education, behavioural management, life skills and social skills training are areas that are necessary to promote a healthy and successful life after the school years are over.

Inclusion provides opportunities for the development of appropriate attitudes towards people with a range of disabilities, Konza, (2008). Exposure to students of all types on a daily basis allows typical learners to see that, just like themselves, students with disabilities have strengths and weakness, and good days and bad days (Westwood & Graham, 2003). Research has long established that changing attitudes towards people with disabilities requires, both, information about these disabilities and experience with people with disabilities (Fisher, & Purcal, 2017). Inclusion facilitates both of these requirements. With the appropriate supports in place, students with intellectual disabilities can achieve a high quality of life in many different aspects. Curriculum and instruction must be carefully modified to help these students reach their potential in both academics and other functional areas such as independent living. While these learners will have limitations in much adaptive behaviour, these limitations will co-exist alongside strengths in other areas within the individual. Independence and self-reliance should always be primary goals of all instructional strategies employed with students with disabilities.

It is important to manage problem behaviours in children because problem behaviours may interfere with learning, social acceptance, harm the child or others and at severe level they contribute to the burden of care-giving and also institutionalization. To be

successful in an inclusive settings disabilities students need to demonstrate classroom behaviours that are consistent with teacher's demands and expectations and that promote socializations with peers (Gadagbui, 2008). Appropriate social and behavioural skills will allow intellectually disabled to fully integrate into the social fabric of the class, the school, and the community. Unfortunately due to factors both internal and external to the classroom, intellectually disabled students may exhibit behaviours that interfere with their learning and socialization and disrupt the learning environment (Ocloo & Subbey, 2008). Therefore, teachers may need to employ a variety of strategies to increase appropriate and decrease inappropriate social and behaviour skills. So the inclusive education programme should focuses on establishing and maintaining positive peer relationship of the children with intellectual disability. Strengthening the capacity of the education system as an overall principle which should guide all education policies and practices, starting from the fact that education is a basic human rights and the foundation for a more just and equal society. Inclusive education acknowledges and respects differences in children: age, gender, ethnicity, language, disability, HIV and TB status (Daily Graphic, May 20, 2008 p. 9 as cited in Gadagbui, 2008). Inclusive education practice will be generally defined as the practice where: The lessons correspond to the diversity of learners, which all learners have access to teachers plan, teach and assess their lessons in collaboration, teachers are interested and support the participation and learning of all learners, parents and the community is used as a source of support in the classroom (Acedo, Ferrer & Pamies, 2009). Inclusion can foster democratic values in the pursuit of social justice Secondly, it is a feasible option, and an integral part of the principles of equality of opportunity in education (Lingard & Mills, 2007). Recent studies show

that inclusive education provides the best opportunities to support the development for people with disabilities (Thomas & Loxley, 2001). Inclusive education in Ghana.

In Ghana, education of children and youth with disabilities started in 1936 and led to the establishment of a school for the blind at Akropong-Akwapim in 1946. Other Schools were built for the deaf, and the intellectually disabled in the sixties. These schools were mostly segregated. The children grew up with their peers and developed a common culture. The schools developed as centres of excellence. There was concentration of expertise on specific impairments and student-teacher ratio enables each child to have more attention. On the other side of those provisions, the schools are usually not available in the child's immediate environment. The expertise is only available for a small group of children;

- System of teaching is very expensive. It is therefore not affordable.
- Children find it hard to re-adopt to life with their families, peers and communities.

The cost of special education per child is too high for most countries. Governments are recognising the need to develop a more affordable system which will provide quality education for all children, hence Inclusive Education. In the light of this global development since Ghana was a participant at the Salamanca and Dakar Conferences, the Ministry of Education pursued those rights hence the Ghana Education Service in its Education Strategic Plan of 2003–2015 adapted Inclusive Education. . Inclusive education is a relatively new concept emerging in education with the focus of enabling children with disabilities gain access and enrolment in regular schools. The effective practice and achievement of inclusive education rest on regular classroom teachers in reference to what knowledge they may have and how prepared they are. This calls for understanding of teachers view about inclusion and how their preparedness is. Educators and regular class peers become more accepting as they

learn more about the abilities and problems of special learners. (Bunch, Lupart & Brown, 1997). The knowledge that a learner is handicapped may raise the anxiety level of teachers for example knowledge that a learner is visually handicapped, Causes tension and anxiety in the teacher (Lipsky & Gardner, 2013).

Jewell, McCutcheon, Harriman & Morrison, (2012) maintained that limited knowledge and experiences can lead to the development of prejudice and non-accepting attitudes and to a natural discrimination against learners who are different. Knowledge about special educational needs (SEN) does not necessarily have to be obtained from formal training. Knowledge can be gained in many ways; teachers can read or view films, video tapes and television programmes about learners with disabilities. Also, simulations of handicapping conditions can be used to increase understanding. As more is learned about learners with disabilities, they appear less different, more familiar and more accepting (Jewell, McCutcheon, Harriman & Morrison, 2012). Teacher preparedness for inclusionary practice are crucial in providing quality education for all children including those with special educational needs. Teachers all over the world are dedicated to ensuring the right to education for all children. Although, many teachers are working in challenging circumstances such as large classes, inadequate infrastructure, weak supply of basic teaching and learning materials, high absenteeism, inflexible policies and curricula, low salaries, among others, they work to accommodate all learners in their schools.

According to Gallagher, E., Gormley, T. R., & Arendt, E. K. (2004), despite the efforts of policy-makers, head teachers and teachers, many children still lack teachers who are adequately trained and supported to meet their needs. UNESCO has estimated that worldwide 1.6 million additional teachers are needed to provide

universal primary education by 2015. There is a real risk that under the pressure to increase the number of teachers within a very short deadline, the quality of the teacher training will decrease. Armstrong, (2006) contends that inclusive education might be seen as a luxury, or as the responsibility of specialists, but inclusive education is crucial to ensure all children have access to quality education, as a result, well-trained, supported and motivated teachers have an impact on the enrolment, participation and achievement of all children, and especially of children with disabilities who need extra encouragement and support to have access to and stay in school. In the view of (Gersten, Keating, Yovanoff & Harniss, 2001), activities in inclusive schools such as adaptations in teaching style, content and materials that are not related to inclusive values such as equality, rights, respect for diversity and participation, etc., are less sustainable and more related to instructions from higher authorities. The skills and knowledge teachers learn in order to teach in inclusive settings should therefore be embedded in inclusive values to be meaningful. Gersten, Keating, Yovanoff & Harniss adds that teachers who believe it is their responsibility to teach all children are more efficient teachers in general.

According to Gersten and Dimino (2001), the fundamental of inclusion is the supposition that the general classroom teacher has certain knowledge and understanding about the needs of different learners, teaching techniques and curriculum strategies. Florian and Rouse (2009) state: „the task of initial teacher education is to prepare people to enter a profession which accepts individual and collective responsibility for improving the learning and participation of all children“ (p. 596).

Savolainen (2009) also notes that teachers play a crucial role in quality education and quotes McKinsey and Company who say, “The quality of an education system cannot

exceed the quality of its teachers” (p. 16). For instance, studies of Bailleul (2008) suggest that the quality of the teacher contributes more to learner achievement than any other factor, including class size, class composition, or background. The need for „high quality“ inclusive teachers becomes evident to provide not only equal opportunities for all, but also education for an inclusive society. According to Reynolds & Shaywitz, (2009), it is the knowledge, beliefs and values of the teacher that are brought to bear in creating an effective learning environment for pupils, making the teacher a critical influence in education for inclusion and the development of inclusion oriented schools. Similarly, Cardona (2009) notes that “concentration on initial teacher education seem to provide the best means to create a new generation of teachers who will ensure the successful implementation of inclusive policies and practices” (p. 35). Also, The OECD report *Teachers Matter*“ recognizes that the hassles on schools and teachers are becoming more complex as society now expects schools to deal effectively with different languages and learners backgrounds, to be complex to culture and gender issues, to promote tolerance and social cohesion, to respond effectively to disadvantaged learners and students with learning or behavioural problems, to use new technologies, and to keep pace with rapidly developing fields of knowledge and approaches to student assessment, Rogers, (2000).

To OECD, teachers, therefore, need confidence in their ability and the knowledge and skills in inclusive education to meet the challenges that they will encounter in the present school climate. Goldhaber and Brewe (2000) were also of the view that changes in education and in society place new demands on the teaching profession. They contended that classrooms now contain a more heterogeneous group of young people from different backgrounds and with different levels of ability and disability. As a result of these changes, teachers not only supposed to acquire new knowledge and

skills but are also to develop them continuously” (p. 43). They stated that teachers have a key role to play in preparing pupils to take their place in society and in the world of work and points out that teachers in particular need the knowledge necessary to:

- a Identify the specific needs of each individual learner, and respond to them by deploying a wide range of teaching strategies.
- b Support the development of young people into fully
- c Autonomous lifelong learners.
- d Work in multicultural settings.
- e Work in close collaboration with colleagues, parents and the wider community.
- f Possess pedagogical skills as well as specialist knowledge of their subjects.
- g Have access to effective early career support programmes at the start of their career.
- h Have sufficient incentives throughout their careers to review their learning needs and to acquire new knowledge, skills and competence.
- i Be able to teach competency and to teach effectively in heterogeneous classes.
- j Engage in reflective practice and research.
- k Be autonomous learners in their own career-long professional development.

Participants concluded that dealing with a culturally diverse classroom means much more than dealing with pupils who have a poor grasp of the language of instruction. The Peer-Learning Activity (2007) concluded that teacher education should provide teachers with knowledge about intercultural issues in school and society and engage teachers’ commitment to working in a culturally diverse society. Several basic teaching skills were felt to be particularly important in this context: Schepens, Aelterman & Vlerick, (2009) contends that current teacher education programmes

have been influenced by a number of pedagogical traditions in past years, for example academic, practical, technological, personal and critical/social re-constructionist traditions. Similarly, Barnard, Pittz & Vanevenhoven, (2019), described practices in institutions holding onto the positivist or academic tradition as providing knowledge through various, often fragmented courses while schools provide the setting where student teachers are expected to apply those theories and integrate knowledge and practice by themselves. Ferreira and Graça (2006) recommend that, to take full account of the diversity of the current school population, the following aspects should be included in teacher education: learning difficulties and disabilities; emotional and behavioural problems; communication techniques and technologies; symbolic representation, signification and multiculturalism; different curricula; teaching methods and techniques and educational relationships. To ensure culturally responsive teaching, Gay and Kirkland (2003) say that teacher education must include critical cultural self-reflection that takes place in a context of guided practice in realistic situations and with authentic examples and Erevelles, N. (2000) proposes the incorporation of Disability Studies in teacher education.

2.3 Benefits of Inclusive Education

Inclusion may appear inappropriate or may appear not to meet all the educational needs in the classroom, but in fact, segregated special education classrooms isolate students from peers who are different from them, Reiner (2018). There are many benefits of inclusion for both learners with and without disabilities as well as society. The benefits of inclusion of students with and without disabilities are social benefits.

2.3.1 Academic Benefits

A study by Westwood & Graham (2003), reported on many academic benefits of inclusion. One benefit found by Hunt, Staub, Alwell and Goetz (1994) was that learners with disabilities spend more time engaged in learning than in special settings. This finding indicates that the students are engaged in learning more in the general education setting because they are receiving a variety of experiences they may not receive in the special education settings. Sharpe, York and Knight (1994) reported that inclusion of students with disabilities is not associated with a decline in the academic or behavioural performance of students without disabilities on standardized tests or report cards. Students with disabilities are more likely to succeed in effective inclusion schools because teachers, administration, specialists, volunteers and typical classmates are working together to ensure that every student is valued, respected, and accepted for who he or she is and is provided with meaningful and appropriate learning experiences. Emerging data suggest that students with disabilities do better academically in inclusive settings (Schumm, S. V. J. S. (1998). Studies have shown that, high-achieving students are not harmed in the inclusion process (Litvack, Ritchie & Shore, (2011).

Emerging studies also suggest that the presence of identified students in general education settings may enhance classroom learning experience for peers who may be at risk academically (Walther-Thomas, 1997) as well as high achieving students (Stevens & Slavin 1995). This is understandable, this is because given the extra help to all class members when a learning specialist is present who can target specific problems as students work and develop appropriate intervention strategies immediately to address these concerns (Brown-Chidsey & Steege, 2011). Research has also shown that inclusion has had a positive academic impact on all students. For

example when students in a general classroom were assigned to cooperative learning groups in mathematics, there were no significant difference for groups that had a child with disability compared to those groups that did not have a child with disability (Passolunghi, & Siegel, 2004). Mcleskey and Waldron (2002) reviewed the achievement levels of students with disabilities educated in a resource centre. The results indicated that the students with disabilities educated in the general classroom, alongside non-disabled peers educated showed a significantly greater improvement in reading than they did with their disabled peers educated in the resource centre.

These findings show that, students with disabilities can also perform better in the other subject areas. Additionally, there has been research on the effect inclusion has on the performance of students with and without disabilities on standardized test. Odom (2000) concentrated on the results of standardized tests, which measured cognitive, language and social development for the educationally disabled students and compared those results to non-disabled children in both inclusive and non-inclusive settings. They reported that there were no significant differences between the two groups with regard to developmental progress. Moreover, Sharpe, York, and Knight (1994) reported the findings of a study conducted to compare the overall performance of students in elementary classrooms. The results indicated that having students with special educational needs in the general classroom did not yield a significant decline in the academic or behavioural performance of their non-disabled peers on measures of standardized tests. The results above indicated that students with disabilities in the general classroom would not lower the academic standards of the non-disabled students. On the contrary, many regular education teachers who feel unprepared to work with learners with disabilities in regular classes display frustration, anger and negative perceptions toward inclusive education because they

believe it could lead to lower academic standards (Chhabra, Srivastava & Srivastava, 2010). The results above indicate that students with disabilities in the general classroom would not lower the academic standards of the non-disabled students. On the contrary, many regular education teachers who feel unprepared and fearful to work with learners with disabilities in regular classes display frustration, anger and negative Perception toward inclusive education because they believe it could lead to lower academic standards (Chhabra, Srivastava & Srivastava, 2010).

2.3.2 Social Benefits

It is instructive to note that, inclusive education has several benefits among of which are; Inclusion in general classroom can help the learners to socialize and interact with each other, for all learners to be appreciated, Soodak, L. C., & McCarthy, M. R. (2013). Thus; it provides the opportunity to develop friendships and this may not be actualized if learners with disabilities are separated from other students without disabilities, these experiences are critical to the social development of students, which can lead to the student becoming an active member of society. Children without disabilities have reported to benefit from the exposure to disabled students in an inclusive setting; students of different abilities have the opportunity to appreciate the fact that not all learners are created equal. Having both non-disabled learners integrated into an age-appropriate classroom has resulted in positive outcomes, which assisted in the development of social networks and friendships (D'Alonzo, Vanleeuwen, & Giordano, 1995). When adequate supports are provided by learners without disabilities, their peers with disabilities can benefit from inclusion effectively. Adults in inclusive schools provide learners with on-going support, models of caring and accepting behaviours, constructive feedback, and encouragement to be supportive of one another (Kunc, 1992).

When inclusion is implemented effectively, daily involvement in each other's lives helps learners to become more empathic and understanding as they develop a better appreciation for unique qualities that all people possess (Kunc, 1992; Simons, & Levin, (1997). Garlid, Paucek, Yarov-Yarovoy, Murray, Darbenzio, D'Alonzo & Grover, (1997) reported that high school students had relationships with learners with disabilities that resulted in more positive attitudes, increased response to the needs of others and increased appreciation for diversity. D'Alonzo et al. further found out that, learners with severe disabilities developed social networks, positive interpersonal relationships and friendships with students without disabilities. This study suggests that for some learners with disabilities, increased interaction with their peers will increase their self-esteem and make them feel that they are truly part of the school. Bosea-Gyinantwi (2009) also observed that, inclusive setting makes the child with disabilities sociable, and receive a lot of assistance from their peers without disabilities. This happens because inclusive schools design academic work to use structures that facilitate social interactions among students (e.g. peer tutoring, cooperative learning). Through these interactions, all students have the opportunities to develop or enhance their communication, problem-solving and relationship building skills, Habon (2012).

2.4 Perceptions of teachers towards children with disabilities in inclusive schools

There is a body of literature on teachers' perception on inclusive education. Chambers and Forlin (2010) defined attitudes as a "learned, evaluative response about an object or an issue and a cumulative result of personal beliefs." (p. 74) Forlin adds that beliefs influenced teacher attitudes to inclusive education that in turn, influence their intentions and behaviours. Attitudes are formed by experience as well as by implicit learning

and may reflect an individual's personality (Zimbardo & Lieppe, 1991). Olufemi (2012), contend that attitudes may be seen to have three related components: cognitive (the idea or assumptions upon which the attitude is based), affective (feelings about the issue), and behavioural (a predisposition toward an action that corresponds with the assumption or belief). As a result, the formation and modification of teacher attitudes are important areas of education research (Weisman & Garza, 2002). Cook (2002) noted the need for positive teacher attitudes and for teachers to create a sense of belonging to support effective inclusive practice. In relation to the assertion, Monsen and Frederickson (2004), pointed out that teachers' attitudes directly affect their behaviour with students, and so have a great influence on classroom climate and student outcomes.

Several studies show that teachers' understanding and perception contribute to success of inclusion and that positive perceptions and understanding are linked to a range of factors, including training in special or inclusive education, experience in working with learners with disabilities, and close contact with students with disabilities. Also, beliefs about disability, ethnicity, perceptions, and concerns of teachers can influence the practice of inclusive education, the quality of educational materials and instruction students receive (Leyser & Tappendorf, 2001; Nieto, 2002; Sharma & Desai, 2002).

Although it appears that teachers tend to be in favour of inclusion as a social and educational principle, their support of their practical implementation of inclusion is dependent on the type and severity of disability, with more reluctant views expressed towards inclusion of learners with more severe disabilities and learners with behaviour disabilities (Scruggs & Mastropieri, 1996; Avramidis & Norwich, 2002). The nature and severity of the disability appears to be related to teacher's willingness to accommodate students with disabilities in general classrooms. Scruggs and Mastropieri

(1996) noted that the highest-level support was given to the inclusion of learners with mild disabilities who require the least amount of modification in curriculum and instruction. The researches indicated that the severity level of student disability and the amount of additional teacher responsibility required were the two factors that appeared to be related to the belief that including students with disabilities would have a negative effect on general education classroom. Learners with mild disabilities (e.g. learners with learning disabilities) have been portrayed as not being significantly different from students without distinguished disabilities (Wang, Reynolds & Walberg, 2003) and therefore were more likely to be welcomed in the inclusive classrooms. Conversely, children with emotional and behavioural problems have typically been rated less positively in relation to perception and understanding about inclusion (Soodak, Podell, & Lehman 1998, Stoiber, Gettinger, & Goetz, 1998).

In general, teachers believe that learners with the most challenging behaviour require additional teacher responsibility and that they are difficult to support. Other studies revealed that inclusion of learners with disabilities into the general education classroom brings about larger classes which increase the teachers' work-load; large classes may be viewed as an obstacle to the successful implementation of inclusive education (Agran, Alper, & Wehmeyer, 2002). Larger classes place additional demands on the regular educator, while reinforcing concern that all learners may not receive proper time or attention (Stoler, 2008). Cornoldi, Terreni, Scruggs and Mastropieri (1996) noted that, classes cannot exceed 20 if there is one student with a disability in an inclusive classroom. Some teachers are also with the view that the classroom time will be insufficient when students with disabilities are included in the general classroom.

Scruggs and Mastropieri (1996) indicated that teachers believed that the classroom time is insufficient for inclusion efforts. Similarly, Downing, Eichinger and Williams (1999) found positive perspectives toward inclusion as teachers were more concerned about the classroom time required to support students with disabilities that might limit their ability to provide an appropriate education for general education learners in the inclusive classroom. Insufficient classroom time available for teachers in inclusive classroom was therefore a major concern to teachers. Positive attitudes and beliefs combine to play a major part in support diversity in inclusive education (Booth & Ainscow, 2002; Silva & Morgado, 2004). According to Cook (2002), training in special and inclusive education has consistently been found out to have influenced educators' perceptions either in a single course or through a content-infused approach. Also, Lancaster and Bain (2007) agreed that in general, there is a positive change in attitudes after undertaking an inclusive and special education unit of study across a number of contexts and countries. The authors suggested that some type of formalized input is sufficient to increase the awareness of general education pre-service teachers. However, Alghazo, & Naggar Gaad (2004), finds out some research evidence to demonstrate that theoretical classes and reading are not sufficient to modify teachers' and students' negative attitudes towards students with special educational needs.

Mahat (2008) noted that in order to find out the perceptions of teachers towards learners with disabilities. In his view, Mahat suggested that perceptions of mainstream teachers toward the inclusion of learners with disabilities were influenced by past experiences (previous experience with teaching learners with disabilities), previous knowledge (training in the field of inclusive education) and newly-acquired knowledge (professional development or training modules). Avramidis and Norwich

(2002) note the importance of positive perceptions of beginning teachers in inclusive settings has been well documented. However, both pre-service and in-service courses that address the skills and the attitudes of teachers towards students with disabilities are frequently deemed insufficient by teachers (Westwood & Graham, 2003).

Finally, inclusive education can be achieved depending on teachers' positive perceptions towards teaching disabled children without exhibiting certain stereotypical patterns in students' academic behavior, Jordan & Schumpe, (2001). In other words, teachers stereotypical and self-imposed perceptions of considering their pupils as one good or bad from the others closes their own motivation to be adaptable to each of their pupils' needs (Etkin Prater Hoefl Menon & Schatzberg, 2010).

2.5 Factors Influencing Teachers' Perceptions towards Children with Disabilities in Inclusive Education

Research has suggested that teachers' perceptions might be influenced by a number of factors which are, in many ways, interrelated. For example, in the majority of integration attitude studies reviewed earlier, responses appeared to vary according to disabling conditions. In other words, the natures of the disabilities and/or educational problems presented have been noted to influence teachers' attitudes. In conclusion, even though in Avramidis & Norwich, (2002) study the opposite was true, teachers seem generally to exhibit a more positive perceptions towards the integration of children with physical and sensory impairments than to those with learning difficulties and emotional-behavioural difficulties (EBD).

2.5.1 Teacher-related variables

A great deal of research regarding teacher characteristics has sought to determine the relationship between those characteristics and attitudes towards children with

disabilities. Researchers have explored a host of specific teacher variables, such as gender, age, years of teaching experience, grade level, contact with disabled persons and other personality factors, which might impact upon teacher acceptance of the inclusion principle (Avramidis & Norwich 2002).

2.5.2 Gender

With regard to gender, the evidence appears inconsistent; some researchers noted that female teachers had a greater tolerance level for integration and for disabilities persons than did male teachers (Ahmmed, Sharma & Deppeler, 2012). Avramidis & Norwich (2002) for example, found that there was a marginal tendency for female teachers to express more positive attitudes towards the idea of integrating children with behaviour problems than male teachers (Beh-Pajoo, 1992; Keyser, & Stevens, 2006). However, did not report that gender was related to attitudes (see also reviews by Hannah, 1988, & Jamieson, 2004)

2.5.3 Teaching experience

Teaching experience is another teacher-related variable cited by several studies as having an influence on teachers' perceptions. Younger teachers and those with fewer years of experience have been found to be more supportive to integration (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002). Sharma, Forlin & Loreman, (2008) study, for example, showed that acceptance of a child with a physical disability was highest among educators with less than six years of teaching and declined with experience for those with six to ten years of teaching. The most experienced educators (greater than 11 years of teaching) were the least accepting. Sharma, Forlin & Loreman, (2008) also obtained a similar result for the integration of a child with intellectual disability. His study seemed to indicate that as educators gained experience in teaching, they became less accepting

of integration. Leyser, Kapperman & Keller, (1994) also found that, in general, teachers with 14 years' or less teaching experience had a significantly higher positive score in their perceptions to integration compared with those with more than 14 years. They found no significant differences in perceptions to integration among teachers whose teaching experience was between one and four years, five and nine years and ten and 14 years (no mention was made based on individual country). Another study by Avramidis & Norwich (2002) compared the willingness of teacher trainees and primary teachers to accept children with SEN in their classes. His findings indicated that there was a clear reluctance on the part of the more experienced primary teachers compared to teacher trainees in their willingness to integrate such children. In this respect, it would not be unreasonable to assume that newly qualified teachers hold positive perceptions towards integration when entering the professional arena. However, although the above studies indicated those younger teachers and those with fewer years of experience are more supportive of integration; other investigators have reported that teaching experience was not significantly related to teachers' attitudes (Avramidis et al., 2000).

2.5.4 Grade Level Taught

The variable grade level taught and its influence on teachers' perceptions towards integration has been the focus of several studies. Leyser, Kapperman & Keller, (1994) international study found that senior high school teachers displayed significantly more positive perceptions towards integration than did junior high school and elementary school teachers, and junior high school teachers were significantly more positive than elementary school teachers (again, no mention was made based on individual country). Other American studies revealed that elementary and secondary teachers differed in their views of integration and the kinds of classroom accommodations they

make for learners who are integrated (Chalmers, 1991), with elementary teachers reporting more positive views of integration and its possibilities than did their secondary counterparts Avramidis & Norwich (2002). Avramidis, Bayliss, & Burden (2000), in their review, concluded that as children's age increased, teacher attitudes became less positive to integration, and attributed that to the fact that teachers of older children tend to be concerned more about subject-matter and less about individual children differences. This was also supported by Lindsay & Dockrell, (2002), who claimed that, for teachers more concerned with subject-matter, the presence of children with SEN in the class is a problem from the practical point of view of managing class activity. In this, it could be argued that primary school ethos is more holistic/inclusive, while secondary is subject-based, and that might impinge on teachers' attitudes. Although there are studies which have not found a relationship between grade and attitude (Rombo, (2006).

2.5.5 Training

Another factor which has attracted considerable attention is the knowledge about children with SEN gained through formal studies during pre- and in-service training. This was considered an important factor in improving teachers' attitudes towards the implementation of an inclusive policy. Without a coherent plan for teacher training in the educational needs of children with SEN, attempts to include these children in the mainstream would be difficult. The importance of training in the formation of positive attitudes towards integration was supported by the findings of Beh-Pajoooh (1992) and Shimman (1990), based on teachers in colleges. Both studied the attitudes of college teachers in the UK towards learners with SEN and their integration into ordinary college courses. Their findings showed that college teachers who had been trained to teach learners with learning difficulties expressed more favourable perceptions and

emotional reactions to students with SEN and their integration than did those who had no such training. Several other studies conducted in the USA Van-Reusen, Shoho and Barker (2000), and the UK Avramidis et al. tend to reinforce the view that special education qualifications acquired from pre- or in-service courses were associated with less resistance to inclusive practices. Dickens-Smith (1995), for example, studied the attitudes of both regular and special educators towards inclusion (not integration). Her respondents were given a perceptions survey before and after staff development. Both groups of respondents revealed more favourable perceptions towards inclusion after their in-service training than they did before, with regular education teachers showing the strongest positive attitude change. Dickens-Smith concluded that staff development is the key to the success of inclusion.

2.5.6 Teachers' Beliefs

More recently, Canadian research has identified another factor that influences not only teachers reported perceptions towards inclusion, but their actual teaching styles and adaptations in heterogeneous classrooms; that is, their views about their responsibilities in dealing with the needs of learners who are exceptional or at risk. Jordan, Lindsay and Stanovich (1997) found that teachers holding a „pathognomonic“ perspective, in which the teacher assumes that a disability is inherent in the individual student, differed in their teaching instruction from those closer to an „interventionist“ perspective, in which the teacher attributes student problems to an interaction between student and environment. Teachers with the most pathognomonic perspectives demonstrated the least effective interaction patterns, whereas those with interventionist perspectives engaged in many more academic interactions and persisted more in constructing student understanding. This finding was further reinforced by another study by Stanovich and Jordan (1998), which attempted to

predict the performance of teacher behaviours associated with effective teaching in heterogeneous classrooms. This investigation on *Teacher perceptions to integration/inclusion* was more sophisticated than previous ones because it was not only based on self-reports and interviews, but also on observation of actual teaching behaviours. The results revealed that the strongest predictor of effective teaching behaviour was the subjective school norm as operationalized by the principals' attitudes and beliefs about heterogeneous classrooms and his or her pathognomonic/interventionist orientation.

Moreover, teachers' responses on the pathognomonic/interventionist interview scale were also found to be important predictors of effective teaching behaviour. The above studies provide evidence that the school's ethos and the teachers' beliefs have a considerable impact on teachers' perceptions towards inclusion which, in turn, are translated into practice. It can be said that teachers who accept responsibility for teaching a wide diversity of students (recognizing thus the contribution their teaching has on the students' progress), and feel confident in their instructional and management skills (as a result of training), can successfully implement inclusive programmes (Soodak, Podell & Lehman, 1998, where receptivity towards inclusion was associated with higher teacher efficacy).

2.5.7 Teachers' Socio-Political Views

There have been a few studies of integration perceptions in relation to educators' wider personal beliefs (political outlook, socio-political views) and attitudes. Buell, Hallam, Gamel-McCormick, & Scheer, (1999), in a US study, found that perceptions to integration were more positive when teachers believed that publicly funded schools should educate exceptional children. Altman, C., Burstein Feldman, Z., Yitzhaki, D., Armon Lotem, S., & Walters, J. (2014), in another study in the United States, found

that classroom teachers with abstract conceptual systems held more positive integration perceptions depending on the ethnic origin of the integrated child. Teachers with abstract conceptual systems showed less need for order, less pessimism and less interpersonal aggression, characteristics which have been related to low levels of authoritarianism. In his comparative study of educators in Devon, England, and Arizona, USA, Malka, Lelkes, & Soto (2019) found that educators with low scores on conservatism tended to have less negative perceptions to integration. More recently, Norwich (1994), in his comparative study of educators in rural and urban areas in Pennsylvania, USA, and Northampton shire, England, compared the relationships of integration perceptions to political outlook, socio-political views and other situational factors (contact with disability, professional position). In this study, integration perceptions were related to sociopolitical views only in the UK sample. Norwich concluded that while educators' socio-political or ideological beliefs and values have some relation to integration, attitudes cannot be considered as a strong predictor alone and other situational factors (provision in the two areas and cultural issues) needed to be taken into consideration.

2.5.8 Educational Environment-Related Variables

A number of studies have examined environmental factors and their influence in the formation of teachers' perceptions towards inclusion. One factor that has consistently been found to be associated with more positive perceptions is the availability of support services at the classroom and the school levels, (Kniveton 2004). Here, support could be seen as both physical (resources, teaching materials, IT equipment, a restructured physical environment) and human (learning support assistants, special teachers, speech therapists). Janney et al. (1995) found that the majority of teachers in their study were hesitant initially to accept children with SEN in their classes, because

they anticipated a worst case scenario where both they and the children with SEN would be left to fend for themselves. Later, these teachers were receptive towards these children after having received necessary and sufficient support. Respondents acknowledged that the support received from the relevant authorities was instrumental in allaying their apprehension that part-time integration would result in extraordinary workloads. A significant restructuring of the physical environment (making buildings accessible to students with physical disabilities) and the provision of adequate and appropriate equipment and materials were also instrumental in the development of these positive attitudes. Besides those mentioned by Janney et al. (1995), other forms of physical support, such as availability of adopted teaching materials (LeRoy & Simpson, 1996), and smaller classes (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002), have also been found to generate positive perceptions towards inclusion. Another type of support, that of the continuous encouragement from the head teacher, has also been mentioned in several studies as being instrumental in the creation of positive perceptions to inclusion.

In the Janney et al. (1995), the enthusiastic support from head teachers was an attributing factor to the success of the part-time integration programme in the schools they studied. Harbeson, Rothchild, & Chazan (1994), in his review of relevant literature, concluded that mainstream teachers have a greater tolerance of integration if head teachers are supportive. Similarly, Centre and Wards (1987) study reported that mainstream teachers whose head teachers had provided some form of support for the integration programme exhibited a more positive attitude towards its implementation than those who had not received any (Beets, Flay, Vuchinich, Acock, & Allred, (2008). Support from specialist resource teachers was also identified as an important factor in shaping positive teacher attitudes to inclusion (Kauffman et al.,

2009). Janney et al. (1995) found that one of the factors cited by their respondents that had contributed to the success of the part-time integration programme they were implementing was the existence of effective support, both interpersonal and task related, provided by the school's special education teachers. Avramidis & Norwich, (2002) argued that special education specialist teachers are important co-workers in providing advice to subject specialist teachers on how to make a particular subject accessible to children with SEN. Sakanishi, Bardwell, Couchman, Jeffery, McCleverty, & Ward, (1997) found that children with a mild sensory disability integrated in mainstream classes did not cause anxiety to mainstream teachers because of the confidence generated by the presence of itinerant teachers for these children. Their study showed that experience of working with itinerant teachers positively affected teachers' perceptions. The importance of support from specialist resource teachers was also highlighted in another study conducted in the USA (Minke, Bear, Deemer & Griffin (1996), which compared the perceptions towards inclusion and the perceptions of self-efficacy, competence, teaching satisfaction and judgments of the appropriateness of teaching adaptation of regular education teachers who co-taught with resource teachers in inclusive classrooms and their counterparts in traditional classrooms. Regular teachers in inclusive classrooms reported positive attitudes towards inclusion and high perceptions of self-efficacy, competence and satisfaction. Regular teachers in traditional classrooms held less positive perceptions and viewed classroom adaptations as less feasible, and less frequently used, than did teachers in classrooms with the protected resource of two teachers. Other aspects of the mainstream school environment have also been identified in the above studies as being obstacles that have to be surmounted in order for inclusive programmes to be successfully implemented; for example, more often than not, teachers report

overcrowded classrooms, insufficient pre-prepared materials (differentiated packages), insufficient time to plan with learning support team, lack of a modified/flexible timetable, inadequately available support from external specialists and lack of regular INSET (Avramidis, Bayliss, & Burden, 2000). In particular, the need for more non-contact time so they can plan collaboratively has been stressed in a number of American studies (Diebold & von Eschenbach, 1991; Semmel, Abernathy, Butera, & Lesar, (1991). In the Ormsbee, Myles & Simpson, (1999) investigation, for example, 48 out of 55 teachers (87.2 per cent) reported their perceived need for 1 hour or more of daily planning time for inclusion. It could be said that mainstream teachers feel that implementing an inclusive programme would involve a considerable workload on their part, as a result of increased planning for meeting the needs of a very diverse population. In this respect, human and physical support can be seen as important factors in generating positive attitudes among mainstream teachers towards the inclusion of children with SEN.

2.6 Teachers' perceptions towards Teaching of Children with Disability in Inclusive Schools

The Salamanca Statement highlights the need to provide education for all children in an inclusive school (Weissbrodt, & Farley, 1994). As a result, the implementation of inclusive schools has been a goal in many countries (Leyser & Kirk, 2004). Inclusion is based on the concept of social justice; wherein all learners are entitled to equal access to all educational opportunities, irrespective of disability or any form of disadvantage (Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 2005). In Australia, the Commonwealth and State educational governments advocate for the inclusion of children with disabilities within regular classrooms (Weissbrodt, & Farley, 1994). Nevertheless, advocacy alone does not ensure that the policy is

favourably accepted by those on the frontline of implementation, namely, classroom teachers. UNESCO reported about studies that concluded that teacher attitudes and expectations are significant barriers to the successful implementation of inclusive classrooms (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002) and equitable participation of all students. Attitudes are conceptualised as relatively stable constructs comprising cognitive, affective, and behavioural components (Bizer, Barden, & Petty, 2003). Teachers' attitudes towards inclusion are often based on practical concerns about how inclusive education can be implemented, rather than be grounded in any particular ideology (Burke & Sutherland, 2004). Common practical concerns raised by teachers include: accommodating the individualised time demands of students with disability without disadvantaging other students in the classroom; being apprehensive of the quality and quantity of work output of children with disabilities; lacking adequate support services; and limited training and competence in supporting inclusive educational practice (Bender, J., & Fink, 1995).

The severity of the disability that teachers are required to accommodate within their classroom is inversely associated with their attitude towards inclusion. That is, the more severe the child's disability; the less positive their attitude is towards inclusion (Vaz, Wilson, Falkmer, Sim, Scott, Cordier & Falkmer, (2015). The type of disability also appears to influence teachers' perceptions. For example, teachers were found to generally be more supportive of including children with physical and sensory disabilities than those with intellectual, learning, and behavioural disabilities (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002; Ellins & Porter, 2005). Teacher education is viewed to be pivotal in developing the affirmative perceptions and skills required for successful inclusion, with formal educational training being identified as one of the main factors that promote an inclusive perceptions (Bender and Fink, 1995). Similar

findings have been found with trainee teachers Westwood and Graham (2003) where the inclusion of a compulsory module on diversity in a postgraduate degree promoted having an inclusive perceptions. Pedagogies that combine formal training and planned hands-on experience with people with disabilities have been shown to improve preparedness and positive perceptions towards inclusion. Moreover, irrespective of degree type, trainee teachers had a better understanding of the potential of children with disabilities after completing a unit of study with a strong focus on inclusive education (Campbell et al., 2003).

However, some authors argue that improving knowledge of and confidence in inclusive education alone is insufficient in improving a positive perceptions towards inclusion and reducing related anxiety. They highlight the finding that there is a gradual decline of positive perceptions towards inclusion in trainee teachers as they advance in their training years (Costello & Boyle, 2013). Perhaps an increased awareness of the challenges one is likely to face by including all students with disabilities might dampen teachers' openness towards being inclusive (Campbell et al., 2003). The influence of age, gender and role on having an inclusive Perceptions is largely mixed. Some studies reported no significant effect of teachers' age on having an inclusive perceptions Costello & Boyle, (2013). While others suggest training in inclusive practices significantly improves the perceptions of younger trainee teachers, but not older ones (Forlin, Loreman Sharma & Earle, 2009). Female teacher trainees are reported to be more tolerant in implementing inclusive education. Avramidis et al (2000), while other studies reported no effect of gender (Alghazo et al., 2003; Van Reusen, Shoho, & Barker, 2000). Following training, teachers with less experience have been shown to have a more positive perceptions towards inclusion when compared with their more experienced counterparts' education (Campbell et al,2003),

while other studies reported no effect of gender (Alghazo et al., 2003; Van Reusen et al., 2000). Following training, teachers with less experience have been shown to have a more positive perceptions towards inclusion when compared with their more experienced counterparts (Campbell et al., 2003). Conversely, some studies found that teachers who have been exposed to people with disabilities (i.e., friend or family member) were found to be more open to inclusion (Costello & Boyle, 2003) whereas other studies do not report any influence of prior exposure to disability (Alghazo, et al., 2003). A recent cross-cultural study on trainee teachers' perceptions toward multiple aspects of diversity found that overall perceptions toward people who differed from them were predominantly acceptance regarding disability, gender and special talents; with over 80% of the participating trainee teachers upholding a positive perceptions (Vaz, Wilson, Falkmer, Sim, Scott, Cordier & Falkmer, 2015). In recent years, there has been growing interest in studying the pragmatic side of implementing inclusive education by measuring teachers' sense of self-efficacy of implementing inclusive education (Sharma, Jha, Dubey & Pessaraki, 2012). Self-efficacy in teaching is the belief that one's teaching can influence how well all students learn, including those who are unmotivated or demanding. Sharma and colleagues observed that the importance of self-efficacy emerges from its cyclic nature, whereby proficiency in performance creates a new mastery experience which, in turn, influences self-efficacy beliefs. Empirical findings validate the associations between high self-efficacy in teachers and openness to implement varied instructional strategies for learners of all ability levels, including those with learning difficulties (Chester & Beaudin, 1996), and more positive perceptions toward inclusive education (Meijer & Foster, 1998). Conversely, teachers with low self-efficacy in their teaching are more likely to see difficulties in learning to be attributable to the child (i.e.,

internal to the child) and less willing to adapt their teaching methods to suit the needs of learners with learning difficulties (Weisel & Dror, 2006). Teachers with a higher efficacy attribute learners' difficulties more to external factors than those with a lower efficacy, suggesting that teachers who feel more competent are more comfortable in accepting some responsibility for students' difficulties (Brady & Woolfson, 2008). Emerging evidence suggests that teachers' self-efficacy beliefs are a better predictor of the attributes they uphold regarding inclusive education than their role (i.e., whether a teacher works in a special education setting, a mainstream setting, or a learning support setting. Inclusion of learners with and without disabilities requires changes in classroom infrastructure, the teaching methodologies, the attitude of teachers and instructional materials since the classroom contains mixed students with different disabilities, teachers will therefore need some in order to handle the classroom diversity.

Ali, Mustapha & Jelas (2006), noted that, despite the apparent benefits of inclusion, and regardless of teachers' commitment and positive perception, and notwithstanding their having the knowledge and skills necessary to meet the educational needs of diverse learners with disabilities, teachers were concerned about the academic, social, and behavioural adjustment of the learners with disabilities in inclusive classroom. Charley, (2015) studied special and general education teachers' perceptions toward inclusion using survey as the research design. The researcher sampled 65 teachers and administered close-ended and open ended questionnaires for the respondents to show their level of agreement. The researcher observed that the general education teachers lacked training and in-services for successful inclusion of students with disabilities in the general classroom. Twenty-seven point two percent (27.2%) of the teachers surveyed indicated they agreed that general education teachers and other staff were

provided with the training and in-services needed in order to feel competent to teach students with disabilities. Seventy-two point eight percent (72.8%) of the teachers indicated that they lacked appropriate training to handle students with disabilities. It must be noted that, Charley study is similar to this one where the researcher also used survey as the research design, and close- and open-ended questionnaires as the instrument for the survey. A study by Layser and Tappendorf (2001) reported that teachers needed various activities included in in-services or pre-services such as simulations, discussions, panel presentation, and relevant information about disabilities. Other teachers stressed their concern that, as more students were included, teachers would need additional tools and skills for coping with the social and emotional problems that accompany inclusive schooling Ali, Mustapha & Jelas (2006). This is because of the changes that inclusion demands in general education classrooms. Some researchers have attributed teachers' negative responses toward inclusion to the teachers' lack of positive experience with well-designed inclusive programs (McLeskey, Waldron, So, Swanson & Loveland, 2001; Vaughn, Schumm, & Saumell, 1998) inclusion will therefore require training of general education teachers to manage the classrooms that contain students with and without disabilities.

McLeskey et al. (2001) further compared the perspectives of teachers, who were not working at the time of the investigation, were not working in inclusive settings with those who were working with well-designed inclusive programs. The results indicated that teachers in well-designed inclusive programs had significantly more positive perspectives and understanding toward inclusion compared to teachers who lacked this experience. Center and Ward (1997) indicated that teachers who were anxious about including student with disabilities in their general education classroom exhibited lack of confidence in their instructional skills and the quality of support

services available at the classroom and school levels. Center and Ward (1997) indicated that teachers who were anxious about including student with disabilities in their general education classroom exhibited lack of confidence in their instructional skills and the quality of support services available at the classroom and school levels. Similarly, Scruggs and Mactropieri (1996) reported that teachers believed that sufficient resources were not available to support inclusion efforts, although more teachers agreed they were provided physical support than human support. Because positive perception and understanding towards inclusion among teachers appear to be a necessary factor for successful inclusion, teacher education should invest in teacher preparation activities that can help teachers to teach learners with disabilities in inclusion settings, Forlin, (2010). These programs have adopted many reforms to impact positively the perception, understanding and the instructional skills of the future teachers. The majority of these programs have examined the impact of special education courses on the perception of general education teachers towards inclusion of students with disabilities in general education classrooms (Carrol, Forlin, & Jobling, 2003).

Several studies in general and special education have reported that teachers' preparation has been characterized by a lack of effectiveness to meet the challenge of inclusive education. Lombard, Miller, and Hazelkorn (1998) conducted a study in 45 states in the U.S to explore the perception of teachers regarding the inclusion of learners with disabilities. The researchers reported that in general, teachers did not feel prepared to meet of students with disabilities, especially those who had received little or no in-service training regarding inclusive practices, and had not participated in developing individual education programs for learners with disabilities.

Similarly, in Northern Ireland and Scotland, 231 teacher trainees were surveyed and almost all believed that their preparation did not enable them to meet the demands of inclusive education (Wishart & Manning, 1996). Agbenyega (2007) also noted that, teachers as a result of their level of training are unable to teach adequately students with disabilities. Matinez (2003) sought to assess the effectiveness of an introductory special education course of student teachers' perception towards inclusion, their sense of teaching efficacy and their knowledge about adapting instruction of children with disabilities. The result revealed that the special education course did not have statistically significant positive effects on the teachers' perception towards inclusion or their perception of teaching competence.

Tait and Purdie (2000) investigated 1626 general education pre-service teachers' understanding toward people disabilities at Australian university. The respondents were either in the final semester of their fourth year or enrolled in one year postgraduate diploma in education. There were no mandatory courses related to learners with disabilities offered to pre-service teachers. However, in the final semester of their fourth year of study, students attended lectures and tutorial about students with disabilities. Tait and Purdie concluded that the one year general teacher training course was ineffective in influencing students' perception in a positive way. Moreover, the authors were not sure whether a longer teacher training program would lead to positive results, and recommended that further research was needed to address this issue. Bunch, Lupart & Brown, (1997) noted that teachers may resist inclusive practices on account of inadequate training. It would appear that teachers perceive themselves as unprepared for inclusive education because they lack appropriate training in this area Bender et al, (1995). Inadequate training relating to inclusive education may result in lowered teacher confidence as they plan for inclusive

education (Schumm, Berger & Monkewitz, 1994). Teachers who have not undertaken training regarding the inclusion of students with disabilities, may exhibit negative perceptions toward such inclusion (Van Reusen, et al., 2001), while increased training was associated with more positive perception towards inclusion of students with disabilities (Goff, Ricke, Lan, Presting, Wang, Dunn & Briggs, 2002). Training in the field of special education appears to enhance understanding and improve perception regarding inclusion (Ballew, Kuester, & Gillespie, 2000; Power, 2002). Introductory courses offered through teacher preparation programs may sometimes be inadequate in preparing the general educator for successful inclusion (Wolfberg, LePage, & Cook, 2009).

On the other hand, some studies also reported that, there are no significant differences between special educators and general classroom teachers. Dicksons-Smith (1995) studied the perception of 200 general and special educators toward inclusion of all students, regardless of their disabilities. The results indicated that both groups of teachers exhibited more positive perception towards inclusion after the in-service training than they did before. The studies and the findings above indicate that, more teachers are of the view that, when given appropriate training and skills, they can teach effectively in inclusive settings, Jordan, Schwartz, & McGhie-Richmond, (2009). Thus, while the impact of teacher attitudes on the implementation of inclusion policies is widely recognised, the factors shaping these perceptions are poorly understood. The current study aimed to identify the factors associated with primary school teachers' perceptions towards inclusion of students with all disabilities in mainstream schools, Vaz, Wilson, Falkmer, Sim, Scott, Cordier, & Falkmer, (2015).

2.7 Challenges Teachers Face in Teaching Children with Disability in Inclusive Schools

Some teachers are of the view that educating students with and without disabilities in the same classroom faces some challenges such as modification in the curriculum and instruction, teachers' confidence level, collaboration between the teachers and the school administration, experience in dealing with students with disabilities and assessment and grading practice in an inclusive classroom. Loreman and Earle, (2007) found that, factors such as close contact with a person with disability, teaching experience, knowledge of policy and law, and confidence levels of significant impact on student teachers perception towards inclusion. Bones and Lambe (2007) have reported that training in special or inclusive education and experience teaching or relating to students with disabilities have positive impact on attitude and perception.

In addition, such positive perception supports the potential for more successful inclusive programs or experiences for students (Kuyini & Desai, 2008; Subban & Sharma, 2006). Possessing previous experience as an inclusive educator appears to positively predispose teachers toward inclusive education Bhatnagar & Das, (2014). It would appear that previous contact with persons with disabilities allows regular education teachers to feel comfortable within the inclusive classrooms. Direct experience of including students with disabilities into mainstream settings appeared to be an essential factor in shaping teachers views toward inclusive settings (Giangreco, Dennis, Cloninger, Edelman & Schattman, 1993). Brown (1996), in her 4-nation UNESCO study of approximately 1000 teachers with experience in teaching children with disabilities , reported a wide difference in perceptions regarding inclusive education. These teachers favoured inclusion of different types of children with disabilities into the general classroom. Brown noted that in countries that had a law

requiring inclusion, teachers expressed favourable views ranging from 47% to 93%. However, teachers from countries that offered mostly segregation education were less supportive to inclusion with their favourable views ranging from 0% to 28%. These findings show that, when teachers are exposed in teaching student with disabilities, they will develop positive perceptions for inclusion. Cook and Landrum (2000) found that teachers with seven or more years of teaching experience with students with disabilities in inclusive classrooms felt that they could potentially meet the needs of more students with disabilities in their classrooms than did teachers with fewer years of inclusive experience.

Mercer, Lane, Jordan, Allsopp & Eisele, (1996), also documented that experienced teachers provide students with disabilities in inclusive settings with more teacher praise, encouragement to do their best, opportunities to answer questions, and more carefully monitoring their performance. However, other researchers have noted that the mere experience of contact with students with disabilities not lead to the formation of more positive perception toward inclusion (Center & Ward, 1997). David, & Kuyini, (2012) reported a non- significant correlation between contact with student with disabilities and teachers' perception towards inclusion. In contrast, some studies reported that teachers with more experience hold more negative perception towards inclusion (De Boer, Pijl & Minnaert, 2011).

Some mainstream teachers claimed that they had chosen to teach a specific discipline and not special education, and inclusion policy forced them to enter areas they were unsure about or not interested in it David, & Kuyini, (2012). De Boer, Pijl & Minnaert, (2011) found that the most experience educators (i.e., teachers with more than 11 years of teaching experience) reported the lowest level of acceptance for inclusion. Moreover, the highest level of acceptance was found among teachers with

less than six years of teaching experience in an inclusive classroom. Based on these inconsistent findings, it seems that nature of the inclusion practice or experience, whether pleasant or not, is what determines the impact on perception. Successful implementation of inclusive education demands collaboration between the school administration and the teachers. The administration should be committed in providing the appropriate materials necessary for inclusive practices as this could be a challenge to teachers. Administrative support has also been cited as a significant factor in determining teacher perception towards inclusion, as the teacher feels reaffirmed if the school principal fosters a positive learning environment for both teachers and students, Robinson, (2004). .

Teachers believe that the support of the principal and other school leaders are critical in order for them to implement inclusive practices (Hammond & Ingalls, 2003). Principals need to accept ownership of all students and support inclusive placement in order to inspire these feelings among other schools personnel, Gameros, (1995) However, research suggests that administrators' perception towards students with disabilities is less than positive; thereby impacting on the process of inclusion in schools (Daane, Beirne-Smith & Latham, 2000). Clayton (1996) noted that the administrative staffs lack sufficient understanding and expertise regarding the delivery of services to students with disabilities. Further research commented that administrators may hold positive views of inclusion as they are further away than mainstream teachers, in terms of actual experience (Larrivee & Cook, 1979). Lack of administrative support may lead to lack of teachers' confidence and may feel reluctant to give their best in an inclusive classroom.

Sigafoos and Elkins (1994) concluded that mainstream educators generally lacked confidence as they attempted to include students with disabilities into their classes.

This may be as a result of lacking proficiency about modifying the regular education curriculum to suit students with individual learning needs. Further, Avramidis et al. (2000) support the view that teachers who perceive themselves as competent inclusive educators often have more positive perception towards inclusive education. The authors also asserted that teachers acquire increased competence as a result of increased training in the field of inclusive education. Inadequate knowledge with regard to instruction techniques of curricular adaptations which contribute to decreased confidence may be factors which influence teachers' perception toward inclusive education Martínez, (2003). For teachers to feel confident to teach disabilities student, they should be able to modify the curriculum to suit every individual in an inclusive classroom.

Miller and Savage (2001) indicated that, the success of inclusive schooling efforts is largely dependent on the general education teachers' ability and willingness to make appropriate modifications to accommodate the individual differences. Ensuring that the needs of all students will be met with inclusion, Hamre-Nietupski, McDonald, and Nietupski (1992), reviewed some challenges along with possible solutions when integrating students with disabilities into the regular classroom.

These challenges include; 1) providing functional curriculum in a regular classroom, and 2) providing community based instruction. For example, a student with severe disability such as an intellectual disability may have trouble with routine hygiene skills. These deficits may alienate the child more if not addressed. The teacher can use this opportunity to reinforce appropriate behaviour for the disabled student as well as the entire class. The issue of hygiene, whether it is daily grooming skills or appropriate table manners can be incorporated in the class "health" curriculum. The disabled student will then work on the area of deficit without being singled out in

front of their peers while the rest of the class has the benefit of having these skills reinforced.

Another strategy outline was providing community-based instruction. This can be defined as allowing the community, whether individual or agencies the opportunity to enhance a lesson with real-life experiences. For example, if there is a fire safety lesson in the curriculum, this may provide the perfect opportunity for local fire fighters to present to the class. Often the professionals will bring fire equipment or a video, which will help reinforce the lesson. All students benefits from this type of multi-sensory approach (i.e. coordinating the visual aids with the lecture. If teachers are able to adapt the curriculum in this way, they could enhance inclusive practices. Assessing and grading of students with and without disabilities in the general classroom seems to be difficult to many teachers. Assessment is a complex process for teachers. Teacher training is assessment is important because, ultimately, classroom practices result in grades that impact promotion, standing, and future opportunists for students, (Darling-Hammond, 2020; Dworkin, O’connor, Backonja, Farrar, Finnerup, Jensen, & Wallace, (2007). Teachers use assessment tools to monitor learning and then they assign grades to students which areas supposed to summarize and capture the extent to which students have learned. Grading is the most common method of communicating student learning whether a student has learned something or not, Guskey, & Bailey (2001). Grades summarize assessment, made by teachers and professionals for students at the end of a specified time (Guskey, & Bailey 2001); McMillan, 2007; O’ Connor, 2007; Keith, & Tomlison Phillip, 2005).

Classroom assessment and grading practices are meant to enhance the learning process, facilitate instruction, and encourage opportunities for new knowledge to be

gained, Campbell & Collins, (2007). Assessment in an inclusive classroom could be formative which means that, the assessment should focus on the individual learners and incorporate wide variety strategies that teachers and learners use in collaboration or summative which is called assessment of learning, Bourke & Mentis, (2014). It is used to confirm what students know and how well they have met curriculum guidelines, Ainsworth, (2010). It assesses how well students have met their own personalized programme goals or determines future placement in programs. It is used to communicate achievement to parents, other teachers and institutions, employers, government, and general public (Kellaghan, Greaney & Murray, 2009). These assessment practices seem to be difficult as the individual teachers may not be competent enough to carry out this type of assessment. Formative assessment is typically called assessment for learning, Stiggins, R. (2005). Formative assessment acknowledges that students learn in diverse and individual ways, but there are still predictable patterns that they will follow in increasing their proficiency. Teachers are aware of this universal process and effectively guide students and adapt to meet them where they are at their learning process. This requires time, knowledge and understanding of student development and ability to adapt regularly and appropriately Gibson & Ross, (2016). Formative assessment maintains that the student is in the central learning process. Students take ownership for their own learning as the teacher provides direction, guidance and feedback to achieve the desired outcomes.

This a complete time consuming, and challenging task for educators in inclusive classrooms (Lingo, Barton-Arwood & Jolivette, (2011). These assessment practices will therefore require changes which enhance learning for students and to make inclusive classroom assessment meaningful. Even though it may be challenging, teachers can develop assessment tools that create reliable, valid and meaningful

learning opportunities that communicate achievement. Some teachers have not been exposed to disabilities classrooms and this can be a disadvantage. Educators need to coordinate efforts and understand the needs of the classroom in terms of developing skills and lesson plans (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002). Learners with severe and profound disabilities require more adaptation and medical attention than the average learners. Teachers must be skilled in handling severe disabilities and create lesson plans based on individual abilities and adhere to dietary needs of the child. Lack of experience can lead to the child not progressing with skills or cause of adverse medical incidents, Pangrazi & Beighle (2019).

Disability inclusion classrooms must be able to involve its learners in all classroom activities. Teachers need to address how the classroom will communicate with each other and encourage participation. If there is a lack of adaptive equipment or adaptive communication and language tools, it makes it difficult for teachers to function as a united classroom (Burke & Sutherland, 2004). When there are children of all abilities in the classroom, both physical and academic, children in the middle can easily fall between the cracks. These children can have learning disabilities, hearing impairments, ADD or language delays to name a few. Providing the right amount of attention and adaptation can be challenging, especially if there is a higher teacher to student ratio (Forlin & Chambers, 2011). Normally, inclusive classrooms have a regular educator and special needs educator. Due to the nature of the classroom and size, it is imperative that there be an appropriate number of teacher aides to assist the teachers with day to day activities (Burke & Sutherland 2004). Not all students have been exposed to persons with disabilities and this becomes a challenge to teachers. Teachers must not tolerate insensitiveness and cruelty and teach that all students are to be treated with respect, regardless of ability, Pangrazi & Beighle (2019). As some

learners are not use to dealing with persons with disabilities, parents are no exception. Teachers need to convey to parents how the classroom is conducted and that all educational needs will be met. Further because there are varying abilities in the classroom, teachers can be challenged to address individual academic needs based on ability (Westwood & Graham, 2003). Although many schools are moving towards disability inclusive classrooms, there are a number of issues or challenges that need to be addressed. Preparing and training a teacher is the first step in making disability inclusive classrooms a success.



CHAPTER THREE

METHODOLOGY

3.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the research methodology for the study. The following areas are covered; research approach, research design, population, sample and sampling techniques, instrumentation, validity, reliability, procedures for data collection and data analysis.

3.2 Research Approach


This research approach will involve conducting a literature review to gather existing knowledge on teachers' perceptions towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality. Additionally, interviews or surveys will be conducted with a diverse group of teachers to gain insights into their experiences and perceptions towards inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality.

3.2 Research Design

The design employed for the study was a cross-sectional survey because the focus of the study was to gain insight into the teachers' perceptions towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality. According to Spector (2019), cross-sectional survey research involves the collection of data in order to test hypothesis or answer research questions concerning the current status of the subject of study. Surveys can, he states, be useful for gathering facts in order to establish important and useful information for the educational purposes. Survey methods collect data through questionnaires or/ and interviews (Orodho, 2005). According to Gay (1992), cross-sectional survey design studies can provide information about the naturally occurring behaviour, perceptions or other

characteristics of a particular group. Surveys attempt to collect data from members of a population for the purpose of establishing the current status of that population with respect to one or more variables.

The cross-sectional survey design was selected for this study because surveys are frequently used to collect information on perceptions and behaviours of phenomena (Polland, 2005). This method (survey) was supported by Weisberg, Krosnick, & Bowen, (1996) who believes that a survey research uses a smaller group of selected people, but generalizes the results to the whole group from which the small group was chosen. A cross sectional survey design was used because it is fact finding in nature. Cross-sectional studies are usually relatively inexpensive and allow researchers to collect a great deal of information quite quickly. Data is often obtained using self-report survey and researchers are then able to amass large amounts of information from a large pool of participants.



3.3 Study Area

According to Beh-Pajooch & Ganji, (2004), an inappropriate location could weaken or ruin eventual findings. The study concentrated on Ahanta West in the Western Region. The Ahanta West Municipality was formerly under the jurisdiction of the Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolitan Authority (S-T.M.A.). It was carved out of the then Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolitan Authority in 1988, now the Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolitan Assembly (STMA) and became an autonomous District under Legislative Instrument LI 1395.

Location of the District

Ahanta West District is located at the southernmost point of the country and the entire West African Sub-Region with its capital at Agona Nkwanta (Agona Ahanta). It is

bounded to the East by the Sekondi-Takoradi Metropolitan Assembly (STMA), Afia Kwasimitim District, the West by the Nzema East Municipal, and to the North by Mponor Wassa East District and Tarkwa-Nsuaem Municipal and the Gulf of Guinea to the South. The district has a total land area of 554 square kilometers representing about 2.3 percent of the surface area of the Western Region. The district is about 15 minutes' drive from the commercial capital of the Western Region, Takoradi and about 25 minutes' drive from the administrative capital, Sekondi. Its proximity to the Central Business District (CBD) of Takoradi enhances business and trading activities.

Climate

The district falls within the south-western equatorial climatic zone of Ghana. The highest mean temperature is 34°C which is recorded between March and April, while the lowest mean temperature of 20°C is experienced in August. Relative humidity is very high averaging between 75 percent to 85 percent in the rainy season and 70 percent to 80 percent in the dry season. The district is located in the wettest region of Ghana. It experiences a double maxima rainfall of over 1,700 millimeters. The rainy season is between the months of April and September, with the greatest volume recorded between April and July. This abundant rainfall supports agrarian activities in the district. However, the high rainfall imparts badly on most of the roads in the district, since most of these roads are not tarred, and become unmotorable and hence accessibility to most parts of the district becomes difficult during the rainy season.

Relief

The district lies within the coastal belt of the country at an elevation ranging between zero and 121 metres above sea level. The land is generally flat with a few isolated hills between 20 to 40 metres above sea level at Banso, Egyambra, Cape Three Point and Princess Akatekyi. There is also a plateau at Egyambra. Some of these hills are

the sources of some rivers in the District. The coastline has features such as capes and bays especially at Cape Three Points. As a result of the sandy nature of the coast, it has attracted a number of beach resorts along the coastlines.

Ethnicity

The main ethnic group of the district is Ahanta and the main language spoken is also the Ahanta. However, Evalue (is also spoken by the people of Egyambra, Princess Town and Princess Aketakyi and Fante by the people of Adjua, Funkoe, New Amanful and Dixcove. Other ethnic groups in the District include Nzema, Ga-Dangme, Ewes, Guans, and other Akans. Although, the district is ethnically diverse, the Ahantas and Evalues are the indigenous people, constituting the largest groups. There is ethnic tolerance and peaceful co-existence among the different ethnic groups residing in the district which provides a congenial environment for development.

Education

The Ahanta West District has a number of basic and second cycle institutions. Currently, there are 92 Kindergartens, 85 Primary schools, 53 junior high schools. The District also has two senior high schools and one technical & vocational institute. These comprise both public (149) and private institutions (84). With regards to teachers, there are a total of 1,461 with 803 being untrained.

Nationality

The proportion of Ghanaians by birth in the district is 96.9 percent. Those who have naturalized constitute 0.4 percent and the non-Ghanaian population in the district is 1.4 percent.

Literacy and education

Considering the population 11 years and above, 73.4 percent are literate and 26.6 percent are non-literate. The proportion of literate males is higher (83.4 %) than that

of females (64.5%). Five out of ten people (50.6%) indicated they could speak and write both English and Ghanaian languages. Of the population aged 3 years and above in the district, 22.8 percent have never attended school, 40.8 percent are currently attending and 36.4 percent have attended in the past.

Economic Activity Status

About 75.3 percent of the population aged 15 years and older are economically active while 24.7 per cent are economically not active. Of the economically active population, 94.0 percent are employed while 6.0 percent are unemployed. For those who are economically not active, a larger percentage of them are students (48.0%), 18.6% perform household duties and 5.8 percent are disabled or too sick to work. Six out of ten unemployed are seeking work for the first time.

Occupation

With respect to the employed population, about 36.4 percent are engaged as skilled agricultural, forestry and fishery workers, 22.1 percent in service and sales, 19.5 percent in craft and related trade, and 2.0 percent are engaged as managers, professionals, and technicians.


Employment status and sector

Considering the population 15 years and older 63.5 percent are self-employed without employees, 19.9 percent are employees, 5.8 percent are contributing family workers, 2.8 percent are casual workers and 0.6 percent are domestic employees (house helps). Men constitute the highest proportion in each employment category except the contributing family workers and apprentices and self-employed without employees. The private informal sector is the largest employer in the district, employing 89.8 percent of the population followed by the public sector with 6.7 percent.

Disability

About three percent of the district's total population has one form of disability or the other. The proportion of the male population with disability is slightly lower (2.7%) than females (3.0%). The types of disability in the district include sight, hearing, speech, physical, intellect, and emotion. Persons with sight disability recorded the highest of 42.7 percent followed by physical disability (34.1%). There are more males with physical, intellectual and emotional disabilities than females in both the urban and rural localities. Of the population disabled, 47.8 percent have never been to school.

Figure 1: Shows Ahanta West Municipality



3.4 Population

The population for the study comprised 178 Early Grade Teachers and headteachers in 11 basic schools in the Ahanta west Municipality in the Western Region Region of Ghana. A sampling frame is a list of all the items in a population. It is a complete list of everyone or everything a researcher wants to study (Sarndal, et al., 2003). It is the

listing of all units in the population from which the sample will be selected (Bryman, 2001). The need for sampling frame identification for a research project has been argued by (Louis Cohen, Lawrence Manion, & Keith Morrison (2011). Cohen et al. mention that upon identification of a population, researchers must select sampling technique early in the planning process to manage time, accessibility issues, and expenses. The basis of Cohen et al.'s argument underscores the reality that it has always been difficult to study an entire population in a research design.

Population refers to the aggregate or totality of objects or individuals regarding which inferences are to be made Cohen & Manion, (1994). A population can be defined as a group of individuals or people with the same characteristics and in whom the researcher is interested, Casteel, & Bridier, (2021). According to Maduekwe (2011), population is the larger group to which a researcher wishes to generalise the study. Kankam and Weiler (2010) explain that a population refers to all the people who you will focus on in a study. It includes all members of a defined class of people, events or objects. In research parlance, population is defined as the larger group upon which a researcher wishes to generalize: it includes members of a defined class of people, events or objects (Creswell, 2009).

3.5 Sample and Sampling Techniques

The sample for the study was 90 teachers (39 males and 51 females) with an average age of 40 years. Also, 11 out of 65 schools were purposely sampled to be part of the study. The schools were selected because they were the only schools practicing inclusive education in the Municipality.

In this study the purposive sampling strategy was used to select the schools and the convenience sampling strategy was used to select 90 respondents to participate in the

study. Creswell (2003) stated that, in purposive sampling, researchers intentionally select individuals and sites to learn or understand a phenomenon. Sampled size of 90 early grade education teachers was purposively sampled for the study because they were teachers without training in special education. (Cohen, Manion and Morrison 2003 cited in Subbey, 2020) also assert that purposive sampling enables researchers to handpick the cases to be included in the sample on the basis of their judgment and typicality. In this way, the researcher builds up a sample that is satisfactory to specific needs. Again, the researcher used his own judgment to select population members whom he felt would give him the desired or accurate information (Adentwi & Amartei, 2009). Purposive sampling, as pointed out by Agyedu, Donkor, and Obeng (2011) is a sample chosen on the basis of some characteristics possessed by the subjects and deemed important for the research based on the judgment of the researcher. The purposive sampling technique was chosen because it is less costly and less time consuming and is free from bias, and prevents unnecessary and irrelevant items or units entering into the sample per chance. Purposive sampling technique gives better results if the investigator is unbiased and has the capacity of keen observation and sound judgment while ensuring intensive study of the selected items.

3.6 Instruments

The tools employed by the researcher to gather the necessary data for the study were interview, questionnaire and observation. According to Flick (2013), interviews are primary data collection strategy to provide information on how individuals conceive their world and make sense of important events in their lives. One of the major advantages of the interview is that it provides access to what is „inside the person’s head, and as such it makes it possible to determine what a person knows, likes or dislikes and thinks (Bryman, 2006).

Another major advantage of the interview is its adaptability in terms of following up ideas, probing responses and investigating motives and feelings further (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011). A major disadvantage of interviewing as data collecting instrument is that it could be prone to subjectivity and bias on the part of the interviewer (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011).

Another contextual disadvantage of interviewing is the interviewer effect of personal identity. A researcher's ethnic origin could impact on the amount of information participants are willing to divulge and their honesty about what they reveal in the interview sessions (Fram, 2013). Apart from ethnic origin, data may also be affected by the gender and age of the researcher as interviewer. Response effects in terms of the eagerness of participants to please the interviewee with their information may also serve as hampering factor in collecting honest data (Krueger & Casey, 2009). To counter these hampering effects as far as possible, the researcher will employ the maximum diversity type of purposive sampling. In this study, the researcher will use interviewing as a data collecting instrument because the data required will be based on emotions, experiences, and feelings for the sake of a deep understanding. For that reason, the researcher values contact with key players in the research field who could provide privileged information. The researcher will rely on the fact that with interviews information can be obtained not only in terms of participants' words, but also in terms of non-verbal communication, such as tones of voices and facial expressions. This will contribute to more complete and subtle meanings of the collected data. A questionnaire is a set of questions or statements that assesses perceptions, opinions, beliefs, and biographical information (McMillan & Schumacher, 2001).

A questionnaire consists of a number of questions printed or typed in a definite order on a form or set of forms. The questionnaire is given to respondents who are expected to read and understand the questions and write down the reply in the space meant for the purpose in the questionnaire itself. The respondents have to answer the questions on their own. The researcher considers questionnaire and interviews to best fit the purpose of collecting data for a deeper understanding of the phenomenon of exploring the perceptions of early grade education teachers towards inclusive education. In view of Nilholm, & Alm (2010), questionnaires offer participants the advantage of answering questions with the assurance of anonymity for their responses.

Questionnaires are used when researchers want to obtain information on a large number of issues and from large sample size. Consequently, questionnaires are usually employed in survey researches. The interview and questionnaire focused on the content specified by the research objectives for a systematic description, prediction or explanation of the phenomenon under study (Bryman, 2006).

Interview

Data was collected via semi structured interviews; Face-to-face interviews occurred under a tree in the school premises and ranged in length from 20 to 40minutes. The interviewees involved in the study were interviewed to elicit responses for the study. The interview items were based on the research questions raised. Five items were raised to investigate the teachers' perceptions towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality. Prompts and probes were designed to gain further understanding of interview questions raised. Flick (2013) opined that semi-structured interview guide was designed to capture the major themes of the study. The semi-structured interviews allowed for consequential interaction between the researcher and participants Their advantage is that, while they are

reasonably objective, they also permit a more thorough understanding of the respondents' opinions and reasons behind them than would be possible using questionnaire" (Denzin & Lincoln, 2005). Semi-structured interviews combine objectivity and depth, and generates valuable data that could not be successfully obtained using any other approach (Flick, 2013). The interview will enable the researcher to elicit meaningful data through a comprehensive strategy in the form of open-ended questions (Creswell, 2009).

The use of an interview guide allows flexibility in asking follow-up questions.

Secondly, the researcher by using the instrument gets the opportunity to seek clarification through probing and expanding the responses of interviewees to ascertain their feelings and experiences, Peredaryenko & Krauss (2013). Nursanti, (2016) indicate that the use of an interview is for a researcher to interact with a respondents convenience to gather information for a particular study. Interview affords the opportunity for feedbacks or further explanation (Babbie, Halley & Zaino, 2007). Though it is time consuming, interviews are able to help both the researcher and the respondents to clarify issues.

Questionnaire

A questionnaire was also used to gather data for the study. The questionnaire was given to the teachers involved in the study. The questionnaire had four sections with the second, third, and fourth sections linking directly to the research questions raised for the study. The first section looked at the background characteristics of the respondents. The teachers' perceptions towards children with disabilities in inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipality. The third section, find out how teachers' perception influence teachers practices in the Ahanta West Municipality, Identify the factors that influence teachers' perceptions towards inclusive education in the Ahanta

West Municipality. Four parts investigate any inherent challenges teachers face in teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools in the Ahanta West Municipality.

According to Reja, Manfreda, Hlebec, & Vehovar, (2003), there are two forms of questionnaire: closed ended and open-ended form. The closed-ended form is also known as restricted or structured calls for short, check-mark and require the respondent to provide “YES” / “NO” responses or rank alternatives provided based on how one feels about the issue.

The respondent’s choices are limited to the set of opinions. However, the open-ended questionnaire which is also termed as unrestricted or unstructured calls for a free response in the respondent’s own words. The respondent frames and supplies the answers to the questions raised in the questionnaire.

The form of questionnaire used for the study was both closed-ended and open-ended form. The questions were made up of two sections; the first sections consisted of the teachers’ bio- data such as gender, age, marital status, educational qualification, teaching experience, number of pupils with disabilities in class and types of disabilities. The second sections focused the main research objectives.

Observation

Observation was employed by the researcher to get the vital data needed for the study. Observations provide additional source of data for verifying the information obtained by other data collection methods. Observation draws on the direct evidence the witness has (Cohen, Manion, & Morison, 2002). In the course of making observation it can either be participant based, where by the researcher becomes part and parcel of the community he or she wants to study, or non-participant observation, where by the observer can be in the community targeted by only observing what is happening.

Specifically, the researcher used non participant observation to gather information during class play time, canteen time, learning and co-curricular activities. Sampled pupils were observed two times in each day for three weeks. During observation the researcher wrote down the exhibited behaviours interest to him.

3.7 Validity and Reliability of Instruments

The validity of research instruments was ensured by assessing the questionnaire items during their construction. Questions were discussed with the colleagues for correction after which it was given to supervisor for verification. This was done to clear any lack of clarity and ambiguity. The content related to the validity of the questionnaire was determined and strengthened through an extensive review of the literature. To ensure that the instrument is valid, it was scrutinized by the researcher's supervisor. Face validity was done by giving the instruments to colleague M.ed Early Childhood Education students for peer review. Indeed, their comments was considered for review of the questions. The content validity of the instruments was granted by experts in the area of inclusive education as well as the research supervisor who will scrutinize the items for their suitability before pre-test. All the necessary corrections in the items was made.

Content validity is a measuring instrument which gauges whether there has been adequate coverage of the investigative questions guiding the study (Creswell, 2003). It indicates that the technique assesses or measures what it is supposed to measure (Creswell, 2009). It is a judgmental assessment on how the content of a scale represents the measures. According to Creswell (2003), there are two ways of determining content validity: firstly, the designer may determine it through a careful definition of the topic of concern, the items to be scaled, and the scale to be used;

secondly, the researcher supervisor who is an expert may judge how well the instrument meets the standard. Construct validity was ensured by employing accepted definitions and constructions of concepts and terms; operationalizing the research and its measures.

3.8 Data Collection Procedures

In conducting a study, Hanson, Creswell, Clark, Petska, & Creswell, (2005) advises researchers to seek and obtain permission from the authorities in charge of the site of the study because it involves a prolonged and extensive data collection. In line with this, an introductory letter was obtained from the Head Of Department of Early Childhood Education, University of Education Winneba. This was used to obtain permission from the Municipality Director of Education and head-teachers of the selected schools to conduct the study. The letter provided the details of the study, including data collection, and issues of confidentiality and anonymity. An approval letter was given to the researcher before data collection. After permission was granted, the researcher informed the study participants of the impending interview and questionnaire. The teachers in Ahanta West Municipality were contacted to verify the appointment before engaging them. The face-to-face interview was done personally at the various schools, and on scheduled date and time. The interviews were taped recorded and played back to them, transcribed, coded and edited. Notes were taken to complement the taped data. The researcher assured respondents of the confidentiality of whatever information they provide. Later, the respondents who answered questionnaire were contacted and permission sought before the questionnaires were administered and collected soon after the respondents had completed it.

3.9 Data Analysis Procedures

The qualitative data were analyzed using thematic approach and descriptive methods. Quantitative data were analysed using statistical package for Social Science software (SPSS version). Yin (2003) stated that before interpretation takes place, data should be analysed statistically and presented. For the qualitative (interview) data, responses by the interviewees to each question were categorized into themes according to research questions. Hence, the qualitative data was analysed thematically. Thematic organization and analysis is the process that identifies analyses and reports the occurrence of themes in the data collected from the research areas. According to Braun and Clarke (2006), thematic analysis follows six basic steps.

1. Familiarizing with the data through thoroughly reading the transcriptions. This helps the researcher to have in mind what exactly is in the data.
2. Generation of initial codes. Putting labels or descriptions on a list of ideas developed from the transcription as already read by the researcher.
3. Searching for themes. Related codes are organized under different themes.
4. Reviewing the themes. The themes developed are reviewed for their relevance and legitimacy of being called themes.
5. Defining and naming themes developed. Defining the overall content of the themes and the message it carries in it before producing a report
6. Producing a report (p. 56).

The interview data were checked and presented in relation to the research questions. In reporting the information collected, some direct quotations were used. Reporting direct statements from research participants is important, because it helps to maintain the originality of data collected (Sutton, & Austin, 2015). In the analyses of the quantitative data, the questionnaires were categorized under themes with respect to

the research questions. Editing and coding were made, after which, the data were entered into the computer using the statistical package for social science software (SPSS). Before performing the desired data transformation, the data were also cleaned by running consistency checks on every variable. Corrections were made after verification from the questionnaires and the database was generated. The data were represented using descriptive statistics involving mainly frequency distribution tables and percentages, bar and pie chart.


3.10 Ethical Considerations

Ethical issues arise from the kind of problems that social scientists investigate and the methods used to obtain valid and reliable data. Shamoo & Resnik, (2009) defines ethics in research as the discipline that study standards of conduct, such as philosophy, theology, law, psychology or sociology. Babbie, Halley & Zaino, (2007), defines ethical issues as the general agreements, shared by researchers about what is proper and improper in the conduct of scientific inquiry. These include seeking permission, voluntary participation, and no harm to participants, informed consent, anonymity and confidentiality (Punch, 2009). In other words, it is a method, procedure or perspective for deciding on how to act and for analysing complex problems and issues.

Ethical considerations are pertinent to this study because of the nature of the problem, the methods of data collection and the kind of persons serving as research participants. Protection and consent of participants and their responses was assured by obtaining due permission, protecting privacy and ensuring confidentiality. In doing this, description of the study, the purpose and the possible benefits and risks was

mentioned to participants. The researcher was permit participants to freely withdraw or leave at any time if they deem it fit.

A statement of consent was given to participants to sign as evidence of their willingness to participate in the study. Kraut, Olson, Banaji, Bruckman, Cohen & Couper, (2004) advises that researchers should ensure that participants are protected from any physical or psychological harm that may arise from research procedures. The researcher received a verbal permission to collect data from the school three days after submitting an introductory letter from the Department of early childhood Education, University of Education, Winneba. Permission was also sought from the headmasters of the schools who later upon confirmation informed their staff. The various schools received copies of the introductory letter. All participants were addressed with pseudonyms in place of their real names. Only the researcher has access to all the data. In this study the researcher maintained all formalities in relation to anonymity and confidentiality.



CHAPTER FOUR

DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.0 Introduction

This chapter presents results of data collected from the respondents based on the research questionnaire administered. The presentation of the findings was guided by these research question;

1. What are the attitudes and perspectives of teachers regarding the implementation of inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipal?
2. What factors influence the perception of teachers in the Ahanta West Municipality towards inclusive education?
3. How do teachers' perceptions impact their approach to teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools in the Ahanta West Municipality?
4. What are the inherent challenges teachers encounter when instructing children with disabilities in inclusive school settings in the Ahanta West Municipality?

In this chapter, demographic information of teachers was analysed. The four research questions were also analysed. The data gathered by the questionnaire was analysed using frequencies and percentages, and the result presented in tables. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents. This section discusses the demographic characteristics of the teachers involved in the study. The parameters discussed include, age, marital status, academic status and length of teaching experience.




Figure 2: Demographic data of Respondents in Relation to Gender

Figure 2 above showed the demographic data for gender of the respondents for the study. From the data, thirty-nine (39) representing 43.3% were males whiles fifty-one (51) representing 56.7% were females. This indicated that more women were involved in the study than their male counterparts during the period of the study.

4.1 Demographic Data

Table 4.1: Demographic Data of Teachers' Ages

Range of age	Frequency	Percentage%
20 – 29 years	15	16.7
30 – 39 years	45	50
40 – 49 years	21	23.3
50 + years	9	10
Total	90	100

Table 4.1 above showed the ages of respondents for the study. Sixteen point seven (16.7%) were between the ages 20 and 29 years (young age). Forty-five (45) teachers representing 50% fell within 30 to 39 years; (middle age), twenty (21) representing 23.3% were between the ages 40 and 49 years (middle age) whiles nine (9)

representing 10% were also above 50 years (old age). From the data, most of the teachers fell within (young and middle age) than their other age groups during the period of the study.

Table 4.2: Academic Status of Respondents

Academic Status	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Teacher certificate A	9	10
Diploma in education	27	30
First Degree	36	40
Masters	3	3.3
Postgraduate Diploma	15	16.7
Total	90	100

Table 4.2 above presents the academic status of the respondents for this study. It was observed that 9(10%) held Teacher Certificate A, 27(30%) held Diploma Certificate, 36(40%) had a Bachelor's degree, 3(3.3%) had Master's Degree, and fifteen 15(16.7%) held Postgraduate Diploma. The data indicate that the greater percentage of respondents had obtained the requisite teaching qualification. It is obvious that teachers' teaching qualification is positively correlated with learning outcome.

Table 4.3: Teaching Experience of Teachers

Teaching Experience	Frequency	Percentage (%)
1 – 5 years	24	26.7
6 – 10 years	39	43.3
11 – 15 years	18	20
16 years and above	6	6.7
Total	90	100

In finding out the teaching experience of the teachers, it was realized that, 24(26.7%) had taught between 1 to and years, 39 (43.3%) had taught between 6 and 10 years,

18(20%) had 11-15 years teaching experience and 6(6.7%) had 16 years and more teaching experience. This indicated that several of the teachers in the study had been teaching between 6 and 10 years.

4.2 Analysis of the Research Questions

Research question one: What are the attitudes and perspectives of teachers regarding the implementation of inclusive education in the Ahanta West Municipal?

This question required teachers to respond to statements that sought to assess their perceptions towards inclusive education. The results were as follows:

Table 4.4: Perceptions of Teachers towards Inclusive Education

STATEMENT	A		SA		U		D		SD	
	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%	F	%
I believe children with disability need to be given special attention by their teachers.	34	37.7	23	25.5	1	1.1	23	25.6	9	10
I wish I could spend more time teaching children with disabilities in my class school	20	22.2	15	16.7	4	4.4	32	35.5	19	21.1
There is nothing wrong with including children with disabilities with other children in general class setting	14	15.5	22	24.4	2	2.2	30	33.3	22	24.4
If I have my way, I will avoid teaching children with disabilities	29	32.2	20	22.2	3	3.3	19	21.1	9	21.1
I naturally feel excited when I see children with disabilities that wants to learn with other students	33	36.6	27	30	1	1.1	15	16.7	14	15.5
I try as much as possible to encourage co-teachers to attend to the needs of children with disabilities	18	20	18	20	0	0	32	35.6	22	24.4
I believe all children whether disabled or able should enjoy equal right										

from teachers.

Key: A=agree; SA=strongly Agree; U=Undecided; SD=Strongly Disagree;
D=Disagree

A little over 63% of the participants believed that teachers must give children with disabilities special attention, 35.6% disagreed, and 1.1% were neutral. Chambers and Forlin (2010) defined Perceptions as a learned, evaluative response about an object or an issue and a cumulative result of personal beliefs that beliefs influenced teacher perceptions to inclusive education that in turn, influence their intentions and behaviours. (p. 74).

About 40% of the participants indicated that they wished they could spend more time teaching children with disabilities in my class/school, 56.6% thought otherwise, and 4.4% were not decisive. Also, 39.9% said there is nothing wrong with including children with disabilities with other children in a general class setting. About 58% of the participants felt that it was not right to include children with disabilities with other children in general class setting, and 2.2% were indecisive. Additionally, 54.5% of the participants suggested that if they had their way, they would avoid teaching children with disabilities, 42.2% disagreed, while 3.3% were indecisive.

Majority of the teachers (66.6%) disclosed that they naturally felt excited when they saw children with disabilities that wanted to learn with other students. However, 32.2% did not think same and 1.1% was indecisive. The question then is why a majority of the teachers would indicate that they naturally felt excited when they saw children with disabilities that wanted to learn with other students, but at the same time that they would avoid teaching children with disabilities? Why did majority of them feel that it was not right to include children with disabilities with other children in general class setting?

According to Cook (2002), training in special and inclusive education has consistently been found to have influenced educators' Perceptions after understanding an inclusive and special education unit of the study and this is the case across a number of contexts and countries. They suggest that some type of formalized input is sufficient to increase the awareness of early grade education pre-service teachers.

However, both pre-serve and in-serve courses that address the skills and perceptions of teachers towards students with disabilities are frequently deemed insufficient by teachers (Westwood & Graham, 2003).

Forty percent of participants agreed that they tried as much as possible to encourage co-teachers to attend to the needs of children with disabilities. Surprisingly, majority of them (60%) said they did not do so. This could be indicative of poor perceptions towards inclusive education.

Cook (2002) noted the need for positive teacher perception and for teachers to create a sense of belonging to support effective inclusive practice. In relation to Cook's assertion, (Barksdale, Peters & Corrales, 2021) pointed out that teachers' perceptions directly affect their behaviour with students and so have a great influence on classroom climate and student outcomes. Eighty-four percent of the percent of the respondents believed that all children (whether disabled or able) should enjoy equal attention from teachers. A little over 13% did not believe that, while 2.2 remained indecisive.

Positive Perceptions and beliefs combine to play a major part in support diversity in inclusive education Ainscow, Booth & Dyson, (2002). However, Molina (2006) finds out some research evidence to demonstrate that theoretical classes and reading are not sufficient to modify "teachers and students" negative perceptions towards students with special educational needs. Finally, inclusive education can be achieved

depending on teachers' positive perceptions towards teaching disabled children without exhibiting certain stereotypical patterns in students' academic behaviour Agbenyefia, (2017). In other words, teachers stereotypical and self-imposed perceptions of considering their pupils as one good or bad from the others closes their own motivation to be adaptable to each of their pupils needs, Kelchtermans,. (2017).

Research Question Two: What factors influence the perception of teachers in the Ahanta West Municipality towards inclusive education?

This question sought to find out what the ways in which early grade teachers' perceptions influence the teaching of children with disabilities. Respondents were therefore required to perceptions towards children with disabilities. Respondents were therefore required to express their views on the issue. The data gathered from the interviews were categorized under these themes: training, availability of educational resources, age teaching experience, motivation and encouragement from head teachers and disability education coordination, past experiences and Gender.

Training

Some of the teachers said training influence their perception towards inclusive education. This was considered an important factor in improving teachers' perceptions towards the implementation of an inclusive policy. Without a coherent plan for teacher training in the educational needs of children with SEN, attempts to include these children in the mainstream would be very challenging.

For instance, a teacher remarked as follows:

Training is very important, I have not acquire enough special or comprehensive training an how to teach children with disabilities, and that makes my perception towards them unsatisfactory, the government and

other policy makers should come to our aid (verbatim comment from teacher 1)

Another teacher intimated that:

Training is very important in determine our perception towards inclusion, but I have not acquire enough special or comprehensive training on how to teach children with disabilities, and that makes my attitude towards them unsatisfactory (verbatim comment from teacher 2)

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

“Inadequate knowledge, skills and training discourages me from accepting inclusive education and playing my part well. The Ministry of Education and Ghana Education Service should organize regular inclusive education training for teacher for us to develop perception towards the inclusive program. (Verbatim comment from teacher 3)

Another teacher asserted that:

“Those teachers who have some level of knowledge and training about inclusive education tend to and skills in handling special need children exhibit positive perception towards inclusive education compared to those of us who have little or no training at all. (Verbatim comment form teacher 4).

Deducing from the above, it is clear that regular training for teachers help develop towards inclusive education this is because training about inclusive education help them to develop skills in handling special need children and therefore exhibit positive perception towards inclusive education. The above findings support to Connelly & Gallagher, (2004), despite the efforts of policy-makers, head teachers and teachers, many children still need teachers who are adequately trained and supported to meet their needs.

Besides, the importance of training in the formation of positive perception towards integration was supported by the findings of Beh-Pajoooh (1992) and Shimman (1990), based on teachers in colleges. Both studied the perceptions of college teachers in the UK towards students with SEN and their integration into ordinary college courses. Their findings showed that college teachers who had been trained to teach students with learning difficulties expressed more favourable perceptions and emotional reactions to students with SEN and their integration than did those who had no such training.

Also, Dickens-Smith (1995), for example, studied the Perception of both regular and special educators towards inclusion (not integration). Her respondents were given an perception survey before and after staff development. Both groups of respondents revealed more favourable perceptions towards inclusion after their in-service training than they did before, with early grade education teachers showing the strongest positive perception change. Dickens-Smith concluded that staff development is the key to the success of inclusion.

Availability of Educational Resources

Availability of educational resources emerged as one of sub theme on factors which influence in perceptions of regular classroom teachers in the district towards inclusive education

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

We have not been provided with enough resources to support inclusive education, so how to do you expect me to take inclusive education serious. When we have enough educational resources, they make teaching and learning in the inclusive setting less cumbersome (Verbatim comment from teacher 1)

Another teacher intimated that:

If government expects positive perceptions from teachers towards inclusive education, then the Ministry of Education should be committed and provide all the necessary educational resources. When adequate and relevant teaching and learning materials are made available in school they augment teaching because they cater for all sense (Verbatim comment from teacher 2)

Grounded from the comment above teachers acknowledge that availability of educational materials make teaching and learning in the inclusive setting less cumbersome. Here, participants identified resources and support as both physical resources, teaching materials, IT equipment, a restructured physical environment and human.

The above findings support Clough and Lindsay (1991) who opined that a number of studies have examined availability of resources and their influence in the formation of teachers' perceptions towards inclusion. One factor that has consistently been found to be associated with more positive perceptions is the availability of resources or support services at the classroom and the school levels (Clough & Lindsay, 1991). The study revealed availability of educational resources as one of the factors that influence the perceptions of early grade teachers in the district towards inclusive education.

More so, Janney et al. (1995) found that the majority of teachers in their study were hesitant initially to accept children with SEN in their classes, because they anticipated a worst case scenario where both they and the children with SEN in their classes, because they anticipated a worst case scenario where both they and the children with SEN would be left to fend for themselves. Janney et al further asserted that these teachers were receptive towards these children after having received from the relevant authorities was instrumental in allaying their apprehension that part-time integration

would result in extraordinary workloads. A significant restructuring of the physical environment (making buildings accessible to students with physical disabilities) and the provision of adequate and appropriate equipment and materials were also instrumental in the development of these positive perceptions.

Age and Teaching Experience

Teaching experience and age is another teacher-related variable identified by respondents as having an influence on teachers' perceptions

Some interview intimated that:

some of the teachers especially the older ones feel reluctant to accept the children with disabilities in class meanwhile they are more experienced and must have positive perception (Verbatim comment from teacher 2).

Another teacher opined that;

The young teachers who have just been posted have very good perception towards the children with disabilities and always willing to assist. (Verbatim comment from teacher 2) Grounded from the comments above it is clear that the young teachers who have just been posted have very good perception towards the children with disabilities and always willing to assist. This could be due to introduction of special education to most University programmes.

The findings support Lindsay, (1991) who observed that young teachers and those with fewer years of experience have been found to be more supportive to integration. Forlin (1995) study, for example, showed that acceptance of a child with a physical disability was highest among educators with less than six years of teaching and declined with experience for those with six to ten years of teaching. The most experienced educators (greater than 11 years of teaching) were the least accepting. Leyser et al (1994) also found that, in general, teachers with 14 years or less teaching experience had a significantly higher positive score in their perception to integration

compared with those with more than 14 years. They found no significant differences in perceptions to integration among teachers whose teaching experience was between one and four years, five and nine years and then and 14 years (No mention was made based on individual country). Another study by Harvey (2005) compared the willingness of teacher trainees and kindergarten school teachers to accept children with SEN in their classes. Harvey's findings indicated that there was a clear reluctance on the part of the more experienced kindergarten school teachers, compared to teacher trainees, in their willingness to integrate such children. In this respect, it would not be unreasonable to assume that newly qualified teachers hold positive perceptions towards integration when entering the professional arena. However, although the above studies indicated those younger teachers and those with fewer years of experience are more supportive of integration; other investigators have reported that teaching experience was not significantly related to teachers' attitudes (Avramidis et al., 2000).

Motivation and Encouragement from Head Teachers and Disability Education Coordinators

Motivation and encouragement was found to generate positive attitudes towards inclusion. Other interviewees brought the following issues to bear.

For instance, a teacher remarked as follows:

Encouragement from my head teacher and the disability education coordinator have been my source of inspiration for teaching children with disabilities. (Teachers 1)

Another teacher asserted that:

The disability education coordinators have played a very vital role in shaping my attitudes towards disabled pupils. Their advice and motivation have helped me develop a good perception about disabled pupils (Teachers 2)

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

Our headmaster has demonstrated excellent leadership by helping us develop a positive and favourable attitude towards inclusive. He is always ready to listen to our concerns and take action (Teachers 3)

Another teacher intimated that:

Support and encouragements from the disability education coordinators have been exceptional; they provide orientation for non-specifically trained teachers to manage special children alongside the normal children. They also support teachers in selecting appropriate materials for teaching special children and help address educational challenges of disabled pupils. They also encourage children with disabilities to keep their dreams and aspirations alive, motivate pupils with disabilities to be active class participants and encourage regular pupils to do group studies and share ideas with special children without difficulties based on the advice of the children with disabilities coordinators. This has really assisted me to be supportive of conclusion education (Teachers 4)

The continuous encouragement from the head teachers as well as teachers is very crucial in ensuring of successful inclusive education has been mentioned in several studies as being instrumental in the creation of positive attitudes to inclusion. This is because motivation of pupils with disabilities helps them to be active participants and encourage regular pupils to do group studies and share ideas with special children without difficulties.

The views expressed by these respondents are in conformity with Janney et al. (1995), who asserted that the enthusiastic support from head teachers was an attributing factor to the success of the part-time integration programme in the schools they studied. Chazan (1994), in his review of relevant literature, concluded that mainstream teachers have greater tolerance of integration if head teachers are supportive. Similarly, center and Ward's (1997) study reported that mainstream teachers whose

head teachers had provided some form of support for the integration programme exhibited a more positive perception towards its implementation than those who had not reviewed any (Thomas, 1995)

Support from specialist resource teachers was also identified as an important factor in shaping positive teacher perceptions to inclusion (Kauffman, Lloyd and McGee, 2009). Janney et al. (1995) found that one of the factors cited by their respondents that had contributed to the success of the part-time integration programme they were implementing was the existence of effective support, both interpersonal and task related, provided by the school's special education teachers. Clough and Lindsay (1991) argued that special education specialist teachers are important co-workers in providing advice to subject specialist teachers on how to make a particular subject accessible to children with SEN. Centre and Ward (1997) found that children with a mild sensory disability integrated in mainstream classes did not cause anxiety to mainstream teachers because of the confidence generated by the presence of itinerant teachers for these children. Their study showed that experience of working with itinerant teachers positively affected teachers' perceptions.

The importance of support from specialist resource teachers was also highlighted in another study conducted in the USA (Minke et al., 1996), which compared the perceptions towards inclusion and the perceptions of self-efficacy, competence, teaching satisfaction and judgements of the appropriateness of teaching adaptation of early grade education teachers who co-taught with resource teachers in inclusive classrooms reported positive perceptions towards inclusion and high perceptions of self-efficacy, competence and satisfaction. Regular teachers in traditional classrooms held less positive perceptions and viewed classroom adaptations as less feasible, and

less frequently used, than did teachers in classrooms with the protected resource of two teachers.

Past Experiences

Some of the teachers recounted their past experiences as an important factor influencing their perception towards inclusive education.

For instance, a teacher remarked as follows:

I think in the past I have not been successful teaching in an inclusive class so it has affected my acceptance of inclusive education (Verbatim comment from teacher 1).

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

Last year I had about two pupils with disabilities in my class, I encountered some challenges during teaching because they were slow learners and portrayed some undesirable behaviours, if I had my way I will avoid teaching them (Verbatim comment from teacher 2).

Another teacher stated that:

I took a postgraduate programme in special education last year because I felt that previously I was lacking the knowledge and experience in handling special education. Now I will fully appreciate inclusive education. (Verbatim comment from Teacher 3).

Another teacher intimated that:

My previous encounter with a particular pupil with disabilities in the neighbouring school changed my perception towards inclusive education totally. Now I have gained new knowledge about inclusive education and develop positive attitude towards inclusive education and will do everything possible to support it. (Verbatim comment from Teacher 4)

From the discussions above it is very evident that respondents past or previous experiences are contributory factors influencing their perception towards inclusive education. The findings are in consonance with Mahat (2008) who notes that in order to find out the perception of teachers towards students with disabilities, in his view,

Mahat (2008) suggests that the perceptions of mainstream teachers toward the inclusion of students with disabilities are influenced by past experiences (previous experience with teaching students with disabilities), previous knowledge (training in the field of inclusive education) and newly acquired knowledge (professional development or training modules).

From the discussions below, gender appears to be a factor that influences the attitudes of early grade teachers in the district towards inclusive education.

Some teachers shared similar views relating to the issue of gender, they contended that:

A respondent commented on this sub-theme by saying that:

I have realized that the female teachers especially are very patients and sympathetic towards the children with disabilities than the male teachers (Verbatim comment from teacher 1).

Another respondents asserted that:

The female teachers understand inclusive education better; they understand the special children and handle them better. They are more responsive to their needs than the male teachers (Verbatim comment from teacher 2).

Inferring from the above, it is clear that the female teachers understand inclusive education better and exhibit positive perception towards it. These findings corroborate Harvey (2005), who found that there was a marginal tendency for female teaches to express more positive perceptions towards the idea of integrating children with behaviour problems than male teachers. Female teachers had a greater tolerance level for integration and for special needs persons than did male teachers (Rizzo & Sirotnik, 2001).

4.3 Analysis of Interview Guide

Research Question Three: How do teachers' perceptions impact their approach to teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools in the Ahanta West Municipality?.

This question sought to find out from the study participants how their perceptions influence their teaching of disabled children in inclusive schools. Respondents were therefore required to express their views on the issue.

On the theme perception of teachers, being a factor which influence their teaching of disabled children in inclusive schools for the comments of the respondents, it was learned that the kind of perception teachers exhibit influence their teaching and how they will include students with disabilities in school.

For instance, a teacher remarked as follows:

Education is the right of all children, and inclusive schools aim to ensure that all children have equal access to education. I consider the needs of all the children when teaching (Verbatim comment from Teacher 1)

Another teacher intimated that:

Inclusive education means giving opportunity to students with special needs to attend general or regular school to be taught by the same teachers, the way I teach is child centred because I have the needs of the pupils in the classroom at heart. I always try to portray positive attitude towards my students in order for them to feel at home (verbatim comment from teacher 2)

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

Inclusive schools strengthen the capacity of the education system to reach out to all learners and my attitude in teaching is very important for positive result" (verbatim comment from teacher 3)

Another teacher stated that:

In the past, students with disabilities were taught in separate classrooms, and in some cases separate schools. Due to the inclusion education

students with disabilities are attending public school with typically developing peers and this has influence my methods of teaching, I make sure that all pupils are able to perform the instructional objectives of lessons taught (verbatim comment from teacher 4)

A teacher also has this to say:

Inclusive Schools places students with disabilities in classroom with peers who do not have disabilities now I teach all pupils in a way they understand I show concerned about the children with disabilities (Verbatim comment from teacher 5)

The view of another teacher is noteworthy here:

Inclusive education accommodates all students, regardless of their abilities or disabilities. It is education for all so the programme has really influence my method of teaching (verbatim comment from teacher 6)

Responses captured from the teachers suggested that inclusive schools ensure that all children have equal access to education. Also, education is a right for all children' therefore, they exhibit positive perception toward their student. Inclusive education has influence their methods of teaching; they make sure that all pupils are able to perform the instructional objectives of lessons taught.

The interview data presented and analysed in this section showed that the teachers believed their perception influenced their teaching methodology. They therefore exhibited positive perception toward their students. They seemed to suggest that children with disabilities have a right to education just like any other regular children as stipulated in the Article 26 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (1948). Education is a fundamental human right: Every child is entitled to it. This is in consonance with Ankutse (n.d.) defined inclusive education as a process of increasing the participation of all students in schools including those with disabilities. According to Ankutse, the inclusive education concept focuses on those groups which, in the past, have been excluded from educational opportunities. These groups include

children living in poverty, those from ethnic and linguistic minorities, girls, children from remote areas, children with disabilities and other special educational needs, and children who are gifted and talented. Ankutse concluded that, children with disabilities, as well as children who are gifted and talented, are often the most marginalized, both within education and society, in general. Ankutse's assertion also supports the findings of UNESCO (2009) that described inclusive education as a process of strengthening the capacity of the education system to reach out to all learners.

The right to education is now accepted as a fundamental human right for everyone and important development have taken place which aim at addressing the educational needs of persons with disabilities. (Ali et al., 2009). The 1994 Salamanca World Conference on Special Needs Education Framework for Action stated that ordinary schools should accommodate all students, regardless of their physical, intellectual, social, emotional, linguistic or other conditions. The framework emphasized on the education for all disabled children in an inclusive environment within the regular education system.

The Salamanca Statement has been a considerable source of influence in the formulation of local educational policies and has rekindled Ghana's commitment to improve their access, quality and provision of equal educational opportunities for all children, including those with disabilities. In particular, the Education Strategic Plans (ESP) of 2005 to 2015 and 2010 to 2020 have decreed inclusive education as the most appropriate educational provision for students with disabilities, with the goal of achieving an inclusive education system by 2015 (Government of Ghana, 2012, 2003). The recently drafted inclusive education policy of Ghana is founded on the

premise that every child has the right to education. This policy therefore seeks inclusive education for all persons with mild as well as severe Special Education Needs (SEN) at all levels of education (Ministry of Education [MOE] 2013).

Research Question Four: What are the inherent challenges teachers encounter when instructing children with disabilities in inclusive school settings in the Ahanta West Municipality?

Teachers agreed that they experience some challenges in trying to implement inclusive education. Same results were obtained from questionnaires and interviews.

They include

Lack of Regular Training

Lack of teachers' training emerged as sub theme on inherent challenges regular classroom teachers face in teaching of special needs children in inclusive schools.

A teacher commented that:

In order to achieve professional skills, one has to go through training. When teachers do not receive regular training it does not help them in discharging their duties because constant training equips teachers with the necessary skills to handle the students with diverse needs (Verbatim comment from teacher 1)

A respondent commented on this sub-theme by saying that:

I am not trained for inclusion education therefore I am not well equipped about how to handle an inclusive classroom. Workshops should be on ongoing basis until we feel that we are well equipped to teach inclusive classrooms effectively. In service training also goes a long way on the part of an inclusive curriculum. (Verbatim comment from teacher 2)

Deducing from the above it is clear that most teachers do not have the requisite training as far as inclusive education is concerned and this poses much problem to the implementation of the programme.

The findings above are in line with Heiman (2002) who noted that teachers may resist inclusive practices on account of inadequate training. It would appear that teachers perceive themselves as unprepared for inclusive education because they lack appropriate training in this area (Daane, Beine-Smith & Latham, 2000).

Inadequate training relating to inclusive education (Schumm, Vaughn & Gordon, 1994). Teachers who have not undertaken training regarding the inclusion of students with disabilities, may exhibit negative perceptions toward such inclusion (Van Reusen, Shoho, & Barker, 2001).

Lack of Competencies

Lack of competencies also emerged as sub theme on inherent challenges regular classroom teachers face in teaching of disabilities children in inclusive schools.

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

Competency and satisfaction are panacea to successful inclusion. In order for the inclusion to be successful the teachers who are handling them should be competent so as to help achieve the aim of inclusive education. (Verbatim comment from teacher 1)

Another teacher intimated that:

Teaching in the inclusive classroom is very tedious therefore it requires teacher who are competent in order to handle all children equal. Thus, the lack of training will impede the effective implementation of the programme. (Verbatim comment from teacher 2)

Judging from the above it is clear that competency helps in successful inclusion of students. Without competency the teachers cannot achieve their competency. The

assertion above is in line with Minke et al, (1996) who contended that the importance of support from specialist resource teachers was also highlighted in another study conducted in the USA (Minke et al., 1996), which compared the perception towards inclusion and the perceptions of self-efficacy, competence, teaching satisfaction and judgements of the appropriateness of teaching adaptation of regular education teachers who co-taught with resource teachers in inclusive classrooms and their counterparts in traditional classrooms. Early grade teachers in inclusive classrooms reported positive perceptions towards inclusion and high perceptions of self-efficacy, competence and satisfaction early grade teachers in traditional classrooms held less positive perceptions and viewed classroom adaptations as less feasible, and less frequently used, than did teachers in classrooms with the protected resource of two teachers.

Lack of Teaching and Learning Materials

Lack of teaching and learning materials emerged as another sub theme on inherent challenges early grade teachers face in teaching of children with disabilities in inclusive schools.

The view of one teacher is noteworthy here:

Unless the government of Ghana has enough funds to provide learning facilities like computers, tape recorders, Braille, hearing aids, overhead projectors the issue of inclusion will always remain on paper. (Verbatim comment from teacher 1).

Another teacher intimated that:

Teachers rely heavily on a diverse range of materials to support their teaching and their students' learning. These are essential to effective instruction as they assist to reinforce and supplement the instructor's communication during the presentation of the lesson. Therefore, if the materials are not available it will not help to achieve the objective

of the inclusive education programme. (Verbatim comment from teacher 2).

Grounded from the above it is obvious that TLMs enhances teaching and learning because pupils are able to see and often feel what the teacher teaches and this go a long way to stimulate pupils' interest and increase understanding and retention. TLM therefore serve as multi-sensory approach of teaching. Janney et al. (1995) who stipulated that other forms of physical support, such as availability of adopted teaching materials (LeRoy & Simpson, 1996); and smaller classes (Clough & Li above revelation is in line with Ndsay, 1991), have also been found to generate positive perceptions towards inclusion.

One factor that Clough and Lindsay (1991) consistently found to be associated with more positive perceptions is the availability of support services at the classroom and the school levels (Clough & Lindsay, 1991). Here, support could be seen as both physical (resources, teaching materials, IT equipment, a restructured physical environment) and human (learning support assistants, special teachers, speech therapists) (Clough & Lindsay, 1991).

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.0 Introduction

This chapter presents the summary, conclusions, recommendations and suggestions for further studies based on the findings from the study.

This study sought to examine teachers' perceptions towards persons with disabilities in inclusive schools within Ahanta West Municipal. Four research objectives guided the study.

They were to;

- Assess the knowledge of early grade teachers in the Ahanta West Municipal about inclusive education.
- Explore the factors which influence the perceptions of early grade teachers in the Municipal towards inclusive education.
- Examine the perceptions early grade teachers in the Municipal have towards inclusive education
- Investigate the challenges facing early grade teachers in the implementation of inclusive education in the Municipal.

The study was a mixed research that employed cross-sectional survey design. The population of interest was early grade education teachers. Data were collected using semi-structured interview and questionnaire from a sample of 90 respondents which was comprised of 39 males and 51 females. Descriptive statistics, such as simple frequency counts and percentages were used to analyse the quantitative data, while content analysis was used to analyse the qualitative data.

5.1 Summary of Key Findings

The data were analysed using thematic approach and descriptive method involving percentages and frequencies and the findings were observed: on perceptions of early grade teachers in the Municipal towards inclusive education; the study found that they believe children with disability need to be given special attention by their teachers in inclusive education, also, they wish they could spend more time teaching children with disabilities in my class/school. Besides, there is nothing wrong with including children with disabilities with other children in general class setting. Lastly, the study found that teachers encouraged co-teachers to attend to the needs of children with disabilities.

Again, on the way early grade teachers' perceptions influence the teaching of children with disabilities in inclusive schools the study found that inclusive schools ensured that all children have equal access to education. Also, the researcher found that teachers exhibited positive perception towards all of their students. Besides, inclusive education has influenced their methods of teaching, such that they made sure that all pupils were able to meet instructional objectives of lessons taught. Lastly, teachers naturally felt excited when they saw children with disabilities that wanted to learn with other students.

Furthermore, the study unveiled that level of training, availability of educational resources, age and teaching experience, motivation and encouragement from head-teachers and disability education coordinators, past experiences and gender as some of the factors that influenced perceptions of early grade teachers in the Municipal towards inclusive education. Regarding challenges early grade teachers faced in the

implementation of inclusive education in the municipal the following findings were identified

- i. Lack of regular training impede the implementation of inclusive education;
- ii. Lack of competencies affects implementation of inclusive education; and
- iii. Lack of teaching and learning materials that could impede the implementation of inclusive education.

5.2 Conclusion

This study has attempted to assess teachers' perceptions towards inclusion and identify the factors that influence these perceptions. The success of inclusion or organised placement of children with disabilities in mainstream classrooms largely depends on teachers' perceptions towards students with Special Education Needs (SEN) and their knowledge on how to properly educate them. In this study the general perceptions of inclusion were not very encouraging; teachers appear to recognise the value and benefits associated with inclusive practice in mainstream classrooms. The study did report significant barriers to successful inclusion; the most common concern being inadequate training in inclusive practice.

Overcoming this barrier requires the development of teachers' competences to better meet the needs of students with special educational needs. Thus, appropriate training and professional development are significant to the success of inclusion. Support is also required, on a regular basis to ensure successful inclusive practice within mainstream basic schools. These findings may help to highlight the importance of teacher perceptions and efficacy beliefs to successful inclusion in Ghana.

5.3 Recommendations

The following recommendations were made from the findings of the study:

- I urge the government and the general public as large, to provide teachers in the mainstream schools with intensive training on teaching children with disabilities in an inclusive setting to enable them give special attention, affection and care to children with disabilities in inclusive setting.
- I urge teachers in inclusive schools to spend more attention and patience to learners with disabilities.
- Ghana Education Service should re-orientate teachers on positive perceptions of teaching children with disabilities in inclusive schools. Constant education should be given to teachers so as to enable teachers exhibited positive perception towards all of their students and ensure that all children have equal access to education in the main stream.
- The school authorities, coordinators, GES, NOGs and individual philanthropies should provide constant training, provision of educational resources, motivation and encouragement from head-teachers and disability education coordinators, so as to help the teachers to give their maximum best.
- Authorities, Ngo's, individuals philanthropy should provide adequate support for teachers to enable them respond effectively to the needs of all children within the class.

5.4 Suggestions for Further Research

The following areas are suggested for further research: the effect of pre-service and in service training on teachers' perceptions and self-efficacy in inclusive education. Besides, investigation into the challenges and concerns faced by teachers in inclusive classrooms is required.

5.5 Limitations of the Study

There was difficulty in conducting interviews and distributing questionnaire to participants in the study, as a result of interruptions in the school calendar. Even though, this limitation was overcome.



REFERENCES

- Acedo, C., Ferrer, F., & Pamies, J. (2009). Inclusive education: Open debates and the road ahead. *Prospects*, 39(3), 227-238.
- Adentwi, K., & Amartei, A. (2009). A practical approach to doing educational research Accra.
- Agbenyefia, X. O. R. S. E. N. Y. O. (2017). *Opinions of teachers about teaching pupils with visual impairment in Ada east district in the greater Accra region* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Education, Winneba).
- Agbenyega, J. (2007). Examining Teachers' Concerns and Attitudes to Inclusive Education in Ghana. *International Journal of whole schooling*, 3(1), 41-56.
- Agran, M., Alper, S., & Wehmeyer, M. (2002). Access to the general curriculum for students with significant disabilities: What it means to teachers. *Education and training in mental retardation and developmental disabilities*, 123-133.
- Agyedu, G. O., Donkor, F., & Obeng, S. (2011). Teach Yourself Research Methods with 2010 APA Updates, University of Education, Winneba–Kumasi Campus, Ghana. Retrieved June, 10, 2013.
- Ahmed, M., Sharma, U., & Deppeler, J. (2012). Variables affecting teachers' attitudes towards inclusive education in Bangladesh. *Journal of research in special educational needs*, 12(3), 132-140.
- Ainscow, M., & Miles, S. (2008). Making education for all inclusive: Where next?. *Prospects*, 38(1), 15-34.
- Ainscow, M., Booth, T., & Dyson, A. (2002). Inclusion and exclusion in schools: Listening to some hidden voices. In *Inclusive Education* (pp. 141-154). Routledge.
- Ainsworth, L. (2010). *Rigorous curriculum design: How to create curricular units of study that align standards, instruction, and assessment*. Lead+ Learn Press.
- Alghazo, E. M., & Naggar Gaad, E. E. (2004). General education teachers in the United Arab Emirates and their acceptance of the inclusion of students with disabilities. *British Journal of Special Education*, 31(2), 94-99.
- Alghazo, E. M., Dodeen, H., & Algaryouti, I. A. (2003). Attitudes of pre-service teachers towards persons with disabilities: Predictions for the success of inclusion. *College Student Journal*, 37(4), 515-523.
- Ali, M. M., Mustapha, R., & Jelas, Z. M. (2006). An Empirical Study on Teachers' Perceptions towards Inclusive Education in Malaysia. *International journal of special education*, 21(3), 36-44.

- Allen, F., Qian, J., & Qian, M. (2005). Law, finance, and economic growth in China. *Journal of financial economics*, 77(1), 57-116.
- Altman, C., Burstein Feldman, Z., Yitzhaki, D., Armon Lotem, S., & Walters, J. (2014). Family language policies, reported language use and proficiency in Russian–Hebrew bilingual children in Israel. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 35(3), 216-234.
- Angelides, P., Stylianou, T., & Gibbs, P. (2006). Preparing teachers for inclusive education in Cyprus. *Teaching and teacher education*, 22(4), 513-522.
- Armstrong, F. (2006). *Spaced out: Policy, difference and the challenge of inclusive education* (Vol. 1). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Armstrong, F. (2015). Inclusive education: school cultures, teaching and learning. In *Teaching and learning in diverse and inclusive classrooms* (pp. 7-18). Routledge.
- Asante, L. A., & Sasu, A. (2015). The persons with disability act, 2006 (act 715) of the republic of Ghana: the law, omissions and recommendations. *JL Pol'y & Globalization*, 36, 62.
- Avramidis, E., & Norwich, B. (2002). Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: a review of the literature. *European journal of special needs education*, 17(2), 129-147.
- Avramidis, E., Bayliss, P., & Burden, R. (2000). A survey into mainstream teachers' attitudes towards the inclusion of children with special educational needs in the ordinary school in one local education authority. *Educational psychology*, 20(2), 191-211.
- Babbie, E., Halley, F., & Zaino, J. (2007). *Adventures in social research: data analysis using SPSS 14.0 and 15.0 for Windows*. Pine Forge Press.
- Bailey Jr, D. B., Aytch, L. S., Odom, S. L., Symons, F., & Wolery, M. (1999). Early intervention as we know it. *Mental retardation and developmental disabilities research reviews*, 5(1), 11-20.
- Bailleul, I. (2010). A stochastic approach to relativistic diffusions. In *Annales de l'IHP Probabilités et statistiques* (Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 760-795).
- Ballew, C., Kuester, S., & Gillespie, C. (2000). Beverage choices affect adequacy of children's nutrient intakes. *Archives of pediatrics & adolescent medicine*, 154(11), 1148-1152.
- Banks, W. A., Tschöp, M., Robinson, S. M., & Heiman, M. L. (2002). Extent and direction of ghrelin transport across the blood-brain barrier is determined by its unique primary structure. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 302(2), 822-827.

- Barksdale, C., Peters, M. L., & Corrales, A. (2021). Middle school students' perceptions of classroom climate and its relationship to achievement. *Educational Studies*, 47(1), 84-107.
- Barnard, A., Pittz, T., & Vanevenhoven, J. (2019). Entrepreneurship education in US community colleges: a review and analysis. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 26(2), 190-208.
- Beets, M. W., Flay, B. R., Vuchinich, S., Acock, A. C., Li, K. K., & Allred, C. (2008). School climate and teachers' beliefs and attitudes associated with implementation of the positive action program: A diffusion of innovations model. *Prevention Science*, 9, 264-275.
- Beh-Pajoo, A. (1992). The effect of social contact on college teachers' attitudes toward students with severe mental handicaps and their educational integration. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 7(2), 87-103.
- Beh-Pajoo, A., & Ganji, K. (2004). The Effect of Different Variables on Special and Regular Teachers' Attitudes towards Mentally Retarded Students and Their Educational Integration. *Educational Innovations*, 3(4), 54-73.
- Weisel, A., & Dror, O. (2006). School climate, sense of efficacy and Israeli teachers' attitudes toward inclusion of students with special needs. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 1(2), 157-174.
- Bender, J., & Fink, G. R. (1995). Epigenetic control of an endogenous gene family is revealed by a novel blue fluorescent mutant of Arabidopsis. *Cell*, 83(5), 725-734.
- Bhatnagar, N., & Das, A. (2014). Attitudes of secondary school teachers towards inclusive education in New Delhi, India. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 14(4), 255-263.
- Bizer, G. Y., Barden, J. C., & Petty, R. E. (2003). Attitudes. *Encyclopedia of cognitive science*.
- Bosea-Gyinantwi, S. (2009). Perception of students of Agogo Presbyterian college of Education towards inclusive education. *Unpublished M. ED Thesis*.
- Bourke, R., & Mentis, M. (2014). An assessment framework for inclusive education: Integrating assessment approaches. *Assessment in Education: principles, policy & practice*, 21(4), 384-397.
- Bowman, S. M., & Free, S. J. (2006). The structure and synthesis of the fungal cell wall. *Bioessays*, 28(8), 799-808.
- Boyle, C., Topping, K., & Jindal-Snape, D. (2013). Teachers' attitudes towards inclusion in high schools. *Teachers and teaching*, 19(5), 527-542.
- Brady, K., & Woolfson, L. (2008). What teacher factors influence their attributions for children's difficulties in learning?. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 78(4), 527-544.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Brown, S. P. (1996). A meta-analysis and review of organizational research on job involvement. *Psychological bulletin*, 120(2), 235.
- Brown-Chidsey, R., & Steege, M. W. (2011). *Response to intervention: Principles and strategies for effective practice*. Guilford Press.

- Brunger, R. G. (2009). *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. 205 pages, plus preface, acknowledgements, references, and indices. *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation*, 24(1), 179-181.
- Bryman, A. (2006). Paradigm peace and the implications for quality. *International journal of social research methodology*, 9(2), 111-126.
- Bryman, A., & Cramer, D. (2004). Constructing variables. *Handbook of data analysis*, 17-34.
- Buell, M. J., Hallam, R., Gamel-McCormick, M., & Scheer, S. (1999). A survey of general and special education teachers' perceptions and inservice needs concerning inclusion. *International journal of disability, development and education*, 46(2), 143-156.
- Bullough Jr, R. V. (2002). Practicing theory and theorizing practice in teacher education. In *Teaching about teaching* (pp. 27-45). Routledge.
- Bunch, G., Lupart, J., & Brown, M. (1997). Resistance and Acceptance: Educator Attitudes to Inclusion of Students with Disabilities.
- Burke, K., & Sutherland, C. (2004). Attitudes toward inclusion: Knowledge vs. experience. *Education*, 125(2).
- Cajola, L. C. (2010). Tony Booth & Mel Ainscow (2008). L'Index per l'inclusione. Promuovere l'apprendimento e la partecipazione nella scuola. Gardolo, TN: Erickson, 240 pp. *Journal of Educational, Cultural and Psychological Studies (ECPS Journal)*, 1(1), 227-232.
- Campbell, D. (2003). Intra-and intersectoral effects in environmental disclosures: evidence for legitimacy theory?. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 12(6), 357-371.
- Carroll, A., Forlin, C., & Jobling, A. (2003). The impact of teacher training in special education on the attitudes of Australian preservice general educators towards people with disabilities. *Teacher education quarterly*, 30(3), 65-79.
- Casteel, A., & Bridier, N. L. (2021). DESCRIBING POPULATIONS AND SAMPLES IN DOCTORAL STUDENT RESEARCH. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies*, 16(1).
- Center, Y., & Ward, J. (1987). Teachers' attitudes towards the integration of disabled children into regular schools. *The exceptional child*, 34(1), 41-56.
- Chalmers, D. J. (1991). The evolution of learning: An experiment in genetic connectionism. In *Connectionist Models* (pp. 81-90). Morgan Kaufmann.
- Chambers, D., & Forlin, C. (2010). Initial teacher education and inclusion: A triad of inclusive experiences. In *Teacher education for inclusion* (pp. 100-109). Routledge.

- Charley, C. Y. (2015). *General education and special education teachers' attitudes toward inclusion* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).
- Chester, M. D., & Beaudin, B. Q. (1996). Efficacy beliefs of newly hired teachers in urban schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 33(1), 233-257.
- Chhabra, S., Srivastava, R., & Srivastava, I. (2010). Inclusive education in Botswana: The perceptions of school teachers. *Journal of disability policy studies*, 20(4), 219-228.
- Ciyer, A. (2010). *Developing inclusive education policies and practices in Turkey: A study of the roles of UNESCO and local educators*. Arizona State University.
- Clayton, D. A. (2000). Transcription and replication of mitochondrial DNA. *Human reproduction*, 15(suppl_2), 11-17.
- Cohen, B. C. (2015). *Press and foreign policy* (Vol. 2321). Princeton university press.
- Cohen, L., & Manion, L. (1994). The interview. *Cohen L. & Manion L. Research Methods in Education: Fourth Edition*, London: Routledge.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2002). *Research methods in education*. routledge.
- Coles, T. (2009). Tourism studies and the governance of higher education in the United Kingdom. *Tourism Geographies*, 11(1), 23-42.
- Connelly, C. E., & Gallagher, D. G. (2004). Emerging trends in contingent work research. *Journal of management*, 30(6), 959-983.
- Cook, B. G., Tankersley, M., Cook, L., & Landrum, T. J. (2000). Teachers attitudes toward their included students with disabilities. *Exceptional children*, 67(1), 115-135.
- Cook, C., Heath, F., & Thompson, R. L. (2000). A meta-analysis of response rates in web-or internet-based surveys. *Educational and psychological measurement*, 60(6), 821-836.
- Cook, V. (Ed.). (2002). *Portraits of the L2 user* (Vol. 1). Multilingual Matters.
- Cornoldi, C., Terreni, A., Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (1998). Teacher attitudes in Italy after twenty years of inclusion. *Remedial and Special education*, 19(6), 350-356.
- Costello, S., & Boyle, C. (2013). Pre-service secondary teachers' attitudes towards inclusive education. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education (Online)*, 38(4), 129-143.
- Creswell, J. W., & Zhang, W. (2009). The application of mixed methods designs to trauma research. *Journal of Traumatic Stress: Official publication of the international society for traumatic stress studies*, 22(6), 612-621.

- Creswell, J. W., Clark, V. L. P., Gutmann, M. L., & Hanson, W. E. (2003). ADVANCED MIXED. *Handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research*, 209.
- Creswell, J. W., Klassen, A. C., Plano Clark, V. L., & Smith, K. C. (2011). Best practices for mixed methods research in the health sciences. *Bethesda (Maryland): National Institutes of Health*, 2013, 541-545.
- Daane, C. J., Beirne-Smith, M., & Latham, D. (2000). ADMINISTRATORS' AND TEACHERS' PERCEPTIONS OF THE COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS OF INCLUSION IN THE ELEMENTARY GRADES. *Education*, 121(2).
- D'Alonzo, B. J., Giordano, G., & Vanleeuwen, D. M. (1998). Perceptions by teachers about the benefits and liabilities of inclusion. *Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth*, 42(1), 4-11.
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2020). Accountability in teacher education. *Action in teacher Education*, 42(1), 60-71.
- David, R., & Kuyini, A. B. (2012). Social Inclusion: Teachers as Facilitators in Peer Acceptance of Students with Disabilities in Regular Classrooms in Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Special Education*, 27(2), 157-168.
- De Boer, A., Pijl, S. J., & Minnaert, A. (2011). Regular primary schoolteachers' attitudes towards inclusive education: A review of the literature. *International journal of inclusive education*, 15(3), 331-353.
- De Boer, A., Pijl, S. J., & Minnaert, A. (2011). Regular primary schoolteachers' attitudes towards inclusive education: A review of the literature. *International journal of inclusive education*, 15(3), 331-353.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self-determination in personality. *Journal of research in personality*, 19(2), 109-134.
- Deci, E. L., Cascio, W. F., & Krusell, J. (1975). Cognitive evaluation theory and some comments on the Calder and Staw critique.
- Denzin, N. K., Lincoln, Y. S., & Giardina, M. D. (2006). Disciplining qualitative research. *International journal of qualitative studies in education*, 19(6), 769-782.
- Diamond, G. P., Da Fonte, M. A., & Boesch, M. C. (2016). I am Working For _ : Successfully Using Token Reward Systems. *Journal of the International Association of Special Education*, 16(1).
- Dicksons-Smith, M. (1995). The effect of inclusion training on teacher attitude toward Inclusion.

- Diebold, M. H., & Voneschenbach, J. F. (1991). Teacher educator predictions of regular class teacher perceptions of mainstreaming. *Teacher Education and Special Education, 14*(4), 221-227.
- Downing, J. E., & Eichinger, J. (2003). Creating learning opportunities for students with severe disabilities in inclusive classrooms. *Teaching Exceptional Children, 36*(1), 26-31.
- Dulcic, A., & Bakota, K. (2008). Attitudes of history teachers in regular elementary schools towards integrated hearing impaired pupils and pupils with speech and language disorders in communication and specific difficulties in studying/Stavovi ucitelja povijesti redovnih osnovnih skola prema integriranim ucenicima ostecena sluha i ucenicima s poremećajima govorno--jezične komunikacije te specifičnim teskocama u učenju. *Hrvatska Revija Za Rehabilitacijska Istraživanja, 44*(2), 31-51.
- Dworkin, R. H., O'connor, A. B., Backonja, M., Farrar, J. T., Finnerup, N. B., Jensen, T. S., ... & Wallace, M. S. (2007). Pharmacologic management of neuropathic pain: evidence-based recommendations. *Pain, 132*(3), 237-251.
- Ellins, J., & Porter, J. (2005). Departmental differences in attitudes to special educational needs in the secondary school. *British Journal of Special Education, 32*(4), 188-195.
- Erevelles, N. (2000). Educating unruly bodies: Critical pedagogy, disability studies, and the politics of schooling. *Educational theory, 50*(1), 25-47.
- Etkin, A., Prater, K. E., Hoeft, F., Menon, V., & Schatzberg, A. F. (2010). Failure of anterior cingulate activation and connectivity with the amygdala during implicit regulation of emotional processing in generalized anxiety disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry, 167*(5), 545-554.
- Everett, L. A., Glaser, B., Beck, J. C., Idol, J. R., Buchs, A., Heyman, M. A., ... & Green, E. D. (1997). Pendred syndrome is caused by mutations in a putative sulphate transporter gene (PDS). *Nature genetics, 17*(4), 411-422.
- Ferreira, H. D. C., & Graça, R. (2006). Special educational needs: An experiment in teacher education in Portugal. *Beginning Teachers and diversity in School: a European study, 91-109*.
- Fisher, K. R., & Purcal, C. (2017). Policies to change attitudes to people with disabilities. *Scandinavian Journal of Disability Research, 19*(2), 161-174.
- Flick, U. (Ed.). (2013). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative data analysis*. Sage.
- Florian, L., & Rouse, M. (2009). The inclusive practice project in Scotland: Teacher education for inclusive education. *Teaching and teacher education, 25*(4), 594-601.
- Forlin, C. (Ed.). (2010). *Teacher education for inclusion*. London: Routledge.

- Forlin, C., & Chambers, D. (2011). Teacher preparation for inclusive education: Increasing knowledge but raising concerns. *Asia-Pacific Journal of teacher education*, 39(1), 17-32.
- Forlin, C., Loreman, T., Sharma, U., & Earle, C. (2009). Demographic differences in changing pre-service teachers' attitudes, sentiments and concerns about inclusive education. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 13(2), 195-209.
- Fram, S. M. (2013). The constant comparative analysis method outside of grounded theory. *Qualitative Report*, 18, 1.
- Gadagbui, G. Y. (2008). Inclusive education in Ghana: Practices, challenges and the future implications for all stakeholders. *Faculty of Educational Studies University of Education, Winneba*, 6.
- Gallagher, E., Gormley, T. R., & Arendt, E. K. (2004). Recent advances in the formulation of gluten-free cereal-based products. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 15(3-4), 143-152.
- Gameros, P. (1995). The visionary principal and inclusion of students with disabilities. *Nassp Bulletin*, 79(568), 15-17.
- Garlid, K. D., Paucek, P., Yarov-Yarovoy, V., Murray, H. N., Darbenzio, R. B., D'Alonzo, A. J., ... & Grover, G. J. (1997). Cardioprotective effect of diazoxide and its interaction with mitochondrial ATP-sensitive K⁺ channels: possible mechanism of cardioprotection. *Circulation research*, 81(6), 1072-1082.
- Gay, G. (1997). Multicultural infusion in teacher education: Foundations and applications. *Peabody Journal of Education*, 72(1), 150-177.
- Gay, G., & Kirkland, K. (2003). Developing cultural critical consciousness and self-reflection in preservice teacher education. *Theory into practice*, 42(3), 181-187.
- Gersten, R., & Dimino, J. (2001). The realities of translating research into classroom practice. *Learning Disabilities Research & Practice*, 16(2), 120-130.
- Gersten, R., Keating, T., Yovanoff, P., & Harniss, M. K. (2001). Working in special education: Factors that enhance special educators' intent to stay. *Exceptional children*, 67(4), 549-567.
- Ghergut, A. (2010). Analysis of inclusive education in Romania: Results from a survey conducted among teachers. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 5, 711-715.
- Giangreco, M. F., Dennis, R., Cloninger, C., Edelman, S., & Schattman, R. (1993). "I've counted Jon": Transformational experiences of teachers educating students with disabilities. *Exceptional children*, 59(4), 359-372.

- Gibson, S. A., & Ross, P. (2016). Teachers' professional noticing. *Theory into practice*, 55(3), 180-188.
- Goff, S. A., Rieke, D., Lan, T. H., Presting, G., Wang, R., Dunn, M., ... & Briggs, S. (2002). A draft sequence of the rice genome (*Oryza sativa* L. ssp. japonica). *Science*, 296(5565), 92-100.
- Goldhaber, D. D., & Brewer, D. J. (2000). Does teacher certification matter? High school teacher certification status and student achievement. *Educational evaluation and policy analysis*, 22(2), 129-145.
- Goubert, L., Craig, K. D., Vervoort, T., Morley, S., Sullivan, M. J., Williams, A. D. C., ... & Crombez, G. (2005). Facing others in pain: the effects of empathy. *Pain*, 118(3), 285-288.
- Guo, L. J. (2007). Nanoimprint lithography: methods and material requirements. *Advanced materials*, 19(4), 495-513.
- Guskey, T. R., & Bailey, J. M. (2001). *Developing grading and reporting systems for student learning*. Corwin Press.
- Habon, M. P. (2012). *Innovation in meeting the needs of students with disabilities* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California).
- Hammond, H., & Ingalls, L. (2003). Teachers' attitudes toward inclusion: Survey results from elementary school teachers in three southwestern rural school districts. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 22(2), 24-30.
- Hamre-Nietupski, S., McDonald, J., & Nietupski, J. (1992). Integrating elementary students with multiple disabilities into supported regular classes: Challenges and solutions. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 24(3), 6-9.
- Hanson, W. E., Creswell, J. W., Clark, V. L. P., Petska, K. S., & Creswell, J. D. (2005). Mixed methods research designs in counseling psychology. *Journal of counseling psychology*, 52(2), 224.
- Harbeson, J. W., Rothchild, D. S., & Chazan, N. (Eds.). (1994). *Civil society and the state in Africa*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Hay, D. F., Asten, P., Mills, A., Kumar, R., Pawlby, S., & Sharp, D. (2001). Intellectual problems shown by 11-year-old children whose mothers had postnatal depression. *The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 42(7), 871-889.
- Hershkowitz, I., Lamb, M. E., & Horowitz, D. (2007). Victimization of children with disabilities. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 77(4), 629-635.
- Holmes, L. (2013). Competing perspectives on graduate employability: possession, position or process?. *Studies in higher education*, 38(4), 538-554.

- Hornby, G., & Hornby, G. (2014). Inclusive special education: The need for a new theory. *Inclusive Special Education: Evidence-Based Practices for Children with Special Needs and Disabilities*, 1-18.
- Howell, A. S., Savage, N. S., Johnson, S. A., Bose, I., Wagner, A. W., Zyla, T. R., ... & Lew, D. J. (2009). Olufemi, T. D. (2012). Theories of attitudes. *Psychology of attitudes*, 61-78.
- Hunt, P., Staub, D., Alwell, M., & Goetz, L. (1994). Achievement by all students within the context of cooperative learning groups. *Journal of the association for persons with severe handicaps*, 19(4), 290-301.
- Janney, R. E., Snell, M. E., Beers, M. K., & Raynes, M. (1995). Integrating students with moderate and severe disabilities into general education classes. *Exceptional children*, 61(5), 425-439.
- Jerlinder, K., Danermark, B., & Gill, P. (2010). Swedish primary-school teachers' attitudes to inclusion—the case of PE and pupils with physical disabilities. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 25(1), 45-57.
- Jewell, L. M., McCutcheon, J. M., Harriman, R. L., & Morrison, M. A. (2012). It's like a bunch of mosquitoes coming at you...": Exploring the ubiquitous nature of subtle discrimination and its implications for the everyday experiences of LGB individuals. *Sexual minority research in the new millennium*, 157-186.
- Jordan, A., Lindsay, L., & Stanovich, P. J. (1997). Classroom teachers' instructional interactions with students who are exceptional, at risk, and typically achieving. *Remedial and special education*, 18(2), 82-93.
- Jordan, A., Schwartz, E., & McGhie-Richmond, D. (2009). Preparing teachers for inclusive classrooms. *Teaching and teacher education*, 25(4), 535-542.
- Jordan, U., & Schumpe, A. (2001). The gas density effect on mass transfer in bubble columns with organic liquids. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 56(21-22), 6267-6272.
- Kankam, G., & Weiler, J. (2010). A guide to action research for Colleges of Education and Universities. *Accra: Readwide publishers*.
- Kauffman, J. M., Nelson, C. M., Simpson, R. L., & Ward, D. M. (2017). Contemporary issues. In *Handbook of special education* (pp. 16-28). Routledge.
- Keith, C., & Tomlison Phillip, R. (2005). Globalisation and Corporate Power. *Contribution to Political Economy*, 24(33-54), 1910-2004.
- Keith, J. M., Bennetto, L., & Rogge, R. D. (2015). The relationship between contact and attitudes: Reducing prejudice toward individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 47, 14-26.

- Kelchtermans, G. (2017). 'Should I stay or should I go?': Unpacking teacher attrition/retention as an educational issue. *Teachers and Teaching*, 23(8), 961-977.
- Kellaghan, T., Greaney, V., & Murray, S. (2009). *Using the results of a national assessment of educational achievement* (Vol. 5). World Bank Publications.
- Keyser, S. J., & Stevens, K. N. (2006). Enhancement and overlap in the speech chain. *Language*, 33-63.
- Knight, J. (1994). *Internationalization: Elements and Checkpoints*. CBIE Research No. 7. Canadian Bureau for International Education. 220 Laurier Avenue West, Suite 1550, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 5Z9.
- Kniveton*, B. H. (2004). A study of perceptions that significant others hold of the inclusion of children with difficulties in mainstream classes. *Educational studies*, 30(3), 331-343.
- Konza, D. (2008). Inclusion of students with disabilities in new times: Responding to the challenge.
- Krasner, D. V. (2000). *The delivery of pro-social skills curricula to learning-disabled and emotionally disturbed children and adolescents in a public school setting*. New York University.
- Kraut, R., Olson, J., Banaji, M., Bruckman, A., Cohen, J., & Couper, M. (2004). Psychological research online: report of Board of Scientific Affairs' Advisory Group on the Conduct of Research on the Internet. *American psychologist*, 59(2), 105.
- Kroese, B. S., Dagnan, D., & Loumidis, K. (2005). Cognitive-behaviour therapy for people with learning disabilities. In *Cognitive-behaviour therapy for people with learning disabilities* (pp. 13-27). Routledge.
- Kunc, N. (1992). The need to belong: Rediscovering Maslow's hierarchy of needs.
- Kusi, A., Enemark, U., Hansen, K. S., & Asante, F. A. (2015). Refusal to enrol in Ghana's National Health Insurance Scheme: is affordability the problem?. *International journal for equity in health*, 14(1), 1-14.
- Kuyini, A. B., & Desai, I. (2008). Providing Instruction to Students with Special Needs in Inclusive Classrooms in Ghana: Issues and Challenges. *International journal of whole schooling*, 4(1), 22-39.
- Lambe, J., & Bones, R. (2007). The effect of school-based practice on student teachers' attitudes towards inclusive education in Northern Ireland. *Journal of education for teaching*, 33(1), 99-113.
- Lancaster, J., & Bain, A. (2007). The design of inclusive education courses and the self-efficacy of preservice teacher education students. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 54(2), 245-256.

- Larrivee, B., & Cook, L. (1979). Mainstreaming: A study of the variables affecting teacher attitude. *The journal of special education, 13*(3), 315-324.
- Larsson, D. J., Adolfsson-Erici, M., Parkkonen, J., Pettersson, M., Berg, A. H., Olsson, P. E., & Förlin, L. (1999). Ethinyloestradiol—an undesired fish contraceptive?. *Aquatic toxicology, 45*(2-3), 91-97.
- LeRoy, B., & Simpson, C. (1996). Improving student outcomes through inclusive education. *Support for learning, 11*(1), 32-36.
- Leyser, Y., & Kirk*, R. (2004). Evaluating inclusion: An examination of parent views and factors influencing their perspectives. *International journal of disability, development and education, 51*(3), 271-285.
- Leyser, Y., & Tappendorf, K. A. R. A. (2001). Are attitudes and practices regarding mainstreaming changing? A case of teachers in two rural school districts. *Education, 121*(4).
- Leyser, Y., Kapperman, G., & Keller, R. (1994). Teacher attitudes toward mainstreaming: a cross-cultural study in six nations. *European Journal of Special Needs Education, 9*(1), 1-15.
- Lindsay, B. G. (1995). Mixture models: theory, geometry, and applications. Ims.
- Lindsay, G., & Dockrell, J. (2002). Meeting the needs of children with speech language and communication needs: a critical perspective on inclusion and collaboration. *Child Language Teaching and Therapy, 18*(2), 91-101.
- Lingard, B., & Mills, M. (2007). Pedagogies making a difference: Issues of social justice and inclusion. *International Journal of Inclusive Education, 11*(3), 233-244.
- Lingo, A. S., Barton-Arwood, S. M., & Jolivette, K. (2011). Teachers working together: Improving learning outcomes in the inclusive classroom-practical strategies and examples. *Teaching Exceptional Children, 43*(3), 6-13.
- Lipsky, D. K., & Gartner, A. (2013). 1. Inclusive education: a requirement of a democratic society. *World yearbook of education 1999: Inclusive education, 12*.
- Litvack, M. S., Ritchie, K. C., & Shore, B. M. (2011). High-and average-achieving students' perceptions of disabilities and of students with disabilities in inclusive classrooms. *Exceptional children, 77*(4), 474-487.
- Lombard, R. C., Miller, R. J., & Hazelkorn, M. N. (1998). School-to-work and technical preparation: Teacher attitudes and practices regarding the inclusion of students with disabilities. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals, 21*(2), 161-172.

- Loreman, T., & Earle, C. (2007). The development of attitudes, sentiments and concerns about inclusive education in a content-infused Canadian teacher preparation program. *Exceptionality Education Canada*, 17.
- Louis Cohen, Lawrence Manion, & Keith Morrison (2011). Research methods in education. New York: Routledge. *Journal of Educational, Cultural and Psychological Studies (ECPS Journal)*, 2(4), 201-206.
- Loxley, A., & Thomas, G. (2001). Neo-conservatives, neo-liberals, the new left and inclusion: stirring the pot. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 31(3), 291-301.
- Maduekwe, C. C. (2015). *The usage of management accounting tools by small and medium enterprises in Cape Metropole, South Africa* (Doctoral dissertation, Cape Peninsula University of Technology).
- Mahat, M. (2008). The Development of a Psychometrically-Sound Instrument to Measure Teachers' Multidimensional Attitudes toward Inclusive Education. *International journal of special education*, 23(1), 82-92.
- Malka, A., Lelkes, Y., & Soto, C. J. (2019). Are cultural and economic conservatism positively correlated? A large-scale cross-national test. *British Journal of Political Science*, 49(3), 1045-1069.
- Martínez, R. S. (2003). Impact of a graduate class on attitudes toward inclusion, perceived teaching efficacy and knowledge about adapting instruction for children with disabilities in inclusive settings. *Teacher Development*, 7(3), 473-494.
- Martinez-Alier, J. (2003). *The Environmentalism of the poor: a study of ecological conflicts and valuation*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Mastropieri, M. A., Scruggs, T. E., Norland, J. J., Berkeley, S., McDuffie, K., Tornquist, E. H., & Connors, N. (2006). Differentiated curriculum enhancement in inclusive middle school science: Effects on classroom and high-stakes tests. *The Journal of Special Education*, 40(3), 130-137.
- Matson, J. L., Sipes, M., Fodstad, J. C., & Fitzgerald, M. E. (2011). Issues in the management of challenging behaviours of adults with autism spectrum disorder. *CNS drugs*, 25, 597-606.
- McLeskey, J., & Waldron, N. L. (2002). School change and inclusive schools: Lessons learned from practice. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 84(1), 65-72.
- McLeskey, J., Waldron, N. L., So, T. S. H., Swanson, K., & Loveland, T. (2001). Perspectives of teachers toward inclusive school programs. *Teacher education and special education*, 24(2), 108-115.
- McMillan, J. H., & Schumacher, S. (2001). *Research in education: A conceptual introduction*. Longman.

- Meijer, J. H., Watanabe, K., Schaap, J., Albus, H., & Detari, L. (1998). Light responsiveness of the suprachiasmatic nucleus: long-term multiunit and single-unit recordings in freely moving rats. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *18*(21), 9078-9087.
- Mercer, C. D., Lane, H. B., Jordan, L., Allsopp, D. H., & Eisele, M. R. (1996). Empowering teachers and students with instructional choices in inclusive settings. *Remedial and Special Education*, *17*(4), 226-236.
- Miller, V., & Savage, M. (2001). Changes in seismic anisotropy after volcanic eruptions: evidence from Mount Ruapehu. *Science*, *293*(5538), 2231-2233.
- Minke, K. M., Bear, G. G., Deemer, S. A., & Griffin, S. M. (1996). Teachers' experiences with inclusive classrooms: Implications for special education reform. *The Journal of Special Education*, *30*(2), 152-186.
- Mmbaga, M. T. (2002). Ascocarp formation and survival and primary inoculum production in *Erysiphe* (sect. *Microsphaera*) *pulchra* in dogwood powdery mildew. *Annals of applied biology*, *141*(2), 153-161.
- Molina, L. T., Madronich, S., Gaffney, J. S., Apel, E., de Foy, B., Fast, J., ... & Zavala, M. (2010). An overview of the MILAGRO 2006 Campaign: Mexico City emissions and their transport and transformation. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *10*(18), 8697-8760.
- Monsen, J. J., & Frederickson, N. (2004). Teachers' attitudes towards mainstreaming and their pupils' perceptions of their classroom learning environment. *Learning Environments Research*, *7*, 129-142.
- Nieto, S. M. (2002). Profoundly multicultural questions. *Educational leadership*, *60*(4), 6-10.
- Nilholm, C., & Alm, B. (2010). An inclusive classroom? A case study of inclusiveness, teacher strategies, and children's experiences. *European journal of special needs education*, *25*(3), 239-252.
- Norwich, B. (1994). The relationship between attitudes to the integration of children with special educational needs and wider socio-political views; a US-English comparison. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, *9*(1), 91-106.
- Nursanti, Y. (2016). Students' perception of teacher's bilingual language use in an English classroom. *Journal of English and Education*, *4*(1), 159-176.
- Ocloo, M. A., & Subbey, M. (2008). Perception of basic education school teachers towards inclusive education in the Hohoe District of Ghana. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, *12*(5-6), 639-650.
- Odom, S. L. (2000). Preschool inclusion: What we know and where we go from here. *Topics in early childhood special education*, *20*(1), 20-27.

- Ordover, E. L., & Boundy, K. B. (1991). *Educational Rights of Children with Disabilities: A Primer for Advocates*. Center for Law & Education, 955 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge, MA 02139.
- Ormsbee, C. K., Myles, B. S., & Simpson, R. L. (1999). General and special educators' perceptions of preassessment team operating procedures. *Journal of Developmental and Physical Disabilities, 11*, 327-338.
- Orodho, B. A., Ajanga, I. S., Jones, P., & Mudavadi, P. O. (2005, June). A new napier grass stunting disease in Kenya associated with phytoplasma. In *XX Twentieth International Grassland Congress: Offered Papers, Wageningen Academic Publishers, The Netherlands* (p. 313).
- Pangrazi, R. P., & Beighle, A. (2019). *Dynamic physical education for elementary school children*. Human Kinetics Publishers.
- Pappas, P. G., Kauffman, C. A., Andes, D., Benjamin Jr, D. K., Calandra, T. F., Edwards Jr, J. E., ... & Sobel, J. D. (2009). Clinical practice guidelines for the management of candidiasis: 2009 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. *Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America, 48*(5), 503.
- Paré, G., Elam, J. J., & Ward, C. G. (1997). Implementation of a patient charting system: Challenges encountered and tactics adopted in a burn center. *Journal of Medical Systems, 21*, 49-66.
- Passolunghi, M. C., & Siegel, L. S. (2004). Working memory and access to numerical information in children with disability in mathematics. *Journal of experimental child psychology, 88*(4), 348-367.
- Peredaryenko, M. S., & Krauss, S. E. (2013). Calibrating the human instrument: Understanding the interviewing experience of novice qualitative researchers. *The qualitative report, 18*(43), 1.
- Peters, S. J. (2003). Inclusive education: Achieving education for all by including those with disabilities and special education needs. *Washington DC: World Bank*, 1-133.
- Pollard, R. J. (2005). *Essentials of Education Research and Analysis: Methods for determining sample size*.
- Power, D. J. (2002). *Decision support systems: concepts and resources for managers*. Quorum Books.
- Punch, M. (2009). *Police corruption: Deviance, accountability and reform in policing*. Routledge.
- Reiner, K. (2018). Least restrictive environments: Where segregated, self-contained special education classrooms fall on the continuum of placements and why mainstreaming should occur with same-age peers. *Mich. St. L. Rev.*, 743.

- Reja, U., Manfreda, K. L., Hlebec, V., & Vehovar, V. (2003). Open-ended vs. close-ended questions in web questionnaires. *Developments in applied statistics*, 19(1), 159-177.
- Reynolds, A. J., Wang, M. C., & Walberg, H. J. (2003). *Early childhood programs for a new century*. Child Welfare League of America.
- Reynolds, C. R., & Shaywitz, S. E. (2009). Response to Intervention: Ready or not? Or, from wait-to-fail to watch-them-fail. *School Psychology Quarterly*, 24(2), 130.
- Rheinberg, F., & Engeser, S. (2018). Intrinsic motivation and flow. *Motivation and action*, 579-622.
- Robinson, L. K. (2004). *Factors determining the perceptions of high school teachers toward inclusive education, effective instructional strategies, and administrative support for change*. Morgan State University.
- Rodgers, J. (2003). Successful inclusion of a child with a stoma in mainstream schooling. *British journal of nursing*, 12(10), 590-599.
- Rogers, B. (2000). *Behaviour management: A whole-school approach*. Sage.
- Rombo, J. L. (2006). Inclusive education: Policies, teachers' attitudes and perspectives. *Contemporary PNG studies*, 5, 29-44.
- Russell, D. G., Cardona, P. J., Kim, M. J., Allain, S., & Altare, F. (2009). Foamy macrophages and the progression of the human tuberculosis granuloma. *Nature immunology*, 10(9), 943-948.
- Ryan, R. (2009). Self-determination theory and wellbeing. *Social Psychology*, 84(822), 848.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 25(1), 54-67.
- Sakanishi, S., Bardwell, D. A., Couchman, S., Jeffery, J. C., McCleverty, J. A., & Ward, M. D. (1997). Donor/acceptor complexes containing ferrocenyl-pyridine ligands attached to a tungsten carbonyl centre: Structural, spectroscopic and electrochemical properties. *Journal of organometallic chemistry*, 528(1-2), 35-45.
- Särndal, C. E., Swensson, B., & Wretman, J. (2003). *Model assisted survey sampling*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Savolainen, H. (2009). Responding to diversity and striving for excellence: The case of Finland. *Prospects*, 39, 281-292.

- Scanlon, G., & Barnes-Holmes, Y. (2013). Changing attitudes: Supporting teachers in effectively including students with emotional and behavioural difficulties in mainstream education. *Emotional and behavioural difficulties*, 18(4), 374-395.
- Schepens, A., Aelterman, A., & Vlerick, P. (2009). Student teachers' professional identity formation: between being born as a teacher and becoming one. *Educational studies*, 35(4), 361-378.
- Schumm, M., Berger, E., & Monkewitz, P. A. (1994). Self-excited oscillations in the wake of two-dimensional bluff bodies and their control. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 271, 17-53.
- Schumm, S. V. J. S. (1998). Learning Disabilities in Inclusive Classrooms.
- Scruggs, T. E., & Mastropieri, M. A. (1996). Teacher perceptions of mainstreaming/inclusion, 1958–1995: A research synthesis. *Exceptional children*, 63(1), 59-74.
- Seidu, A., Mereku, D. K., Avoke, M., Ekumah, E., Tamanja, E. M. J., Adzahlie-Mensah, V., & Hartwell, A. (2008). Report on teacher capacity for local language instruction. *Winneba, Ghana: National Center for Research in Basic Education*.
- Semmel, M. I., Abernathy, T. V., Butera, G., & Lesar, S. (1991). Teacher perceptions of the regular education initiative. *Exceptional children*, 58(1), 9-24.
- Sensoy, O., & DiAngelo, R. (2017). *Is everyone really equal?: An introduction to key concepts in social justice education*. Teachers College Press.
- Shamoo, A. E., & Resnik, D. B. (2009). *Responsible conduct of research*. Oxford University Press.
- Sharma, P., Jha, A. B., Dubey, R. S., & Pessarakli, M. (2012). Reactive oxygen species, oxidative damage, and antioxidative defense mechanism in plants under stressful conditions. *Journal of botany*, 2012.
- Sharma, U., & Desai, I. (2002). Measuring concerns about integrated education. *Asia-Pacific Journal on Disability*, 5(1), 2-14.
- Sharma, U., & Desai, I. (2002). Measuring concerns about integrated education. *Asia-Pacific Journal on Disability*, 5(1), 2-14.
- Sharma, U., Forlin, C., & Loreman, T. (2008). Impact of training on pre-service teachers' attitudes and concerns about inclusive education and sentiments about persons with disabilities. *Disability & society*, 23(7), 773-785.
- Sharpe, M. N., York, J. L., & Knight, J. (1994). Effects of inclusion on the academic performance of classmates without disabilities: A preliminary study. *Remedial and special education*, 15(5), 281-287.

- Sheets, M. D., Amersdorfer, P., Finnern, R., Sargent, P., Lindqvist, E., Schier, R., ... & Marks, J. D. (1998). Efficient construction of a large nonimmune phage antibody library: the production of high-affinity human single-chain antibodies to protein antigens. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 95(11), 6157-6162.
- Shimman, P. (1990). The impact of special needs students at a further education college: A report on a questionnaire survey. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 14(3), 83-91.
- Silva, J. C., & Morgado, J. (2004). Support teachers' beliefs about the academic achievement of students with special educational needs. *British journal of special education*, 31(4), 207-214.
- Simons, D. J., & Levin, D. T. (1997). Change blindness. *Trends in cognitive sciences*, 1(7), 261-267.
- Smart Richman, L., & Leary, M. R. (2009). Reactions to discrimination, stigmatization, ostracism, and other forms of interpersonal rejection: a multimotive model. *Psychological review*, 116(2), 365.
- Soodak, L. C., & McCarthy, M. R. (2013). Classroom management in inclusive settings. In *Handbook of classroom management* (pp. 471-500). Routledge.
- Soodak, L. C., Podell, D. M., & Lehman, L. R. (1998). Teacher, student, and school attributes as predictors of teachers' responses to inclusion. *The Journal of Special Education*, 31(4), 480-497.
- Soodak, L. C., Podell, D. M., & Lehman, L. R. (1998). Teacher, student, and school attributes as predictors of teachers' responses to inclusion. *The Journal of Special Education*, 31(4), 480-497.
- Spear, L. P., & Swartzwelder, H. S. (2014). Adolescent alcohol exposure and persistence of adolescent-typical phenotypes into adulthood: a mini-review. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 45, 1-8.
- Spector, P. E. (2019). Do not cross me: Optimizing the use of cross-sectional designs. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 34(2), 125-137.
- Stanovich, P. J., & Jordan, A. (1998). Canadian teachers' and principals' beliefs about inclusive education as predictors of effective teaching in heterogeneous classrooms. *The Elementary School Journal*, 98(3), 221-238.
- Stevens, R. J., & Slavin, R. E. (1995). The cooperative elementary school: Effects on students' achievement, attitudes, and social relations. *American educational research journal*, 32(2), 321-351.
- Stiggins, R. (2005). From formative assessment to assessment for learning: A path to success in standards-based schools. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 87(4), 324-328.

- Stoiber, K. C., Gettinger, M., & Goetz, D. (1998). Exploring factors influencing parents' and early childhood practitioners' beliefs about inclusion. *Early childhood research quarterly*, 13(1), 107-124.
- Stoler, A. L. (2008). Imperial debris: Reflections on ruins and ruination. *Cultural anthropology*, 23(2), 191-219.
- Subban, P., & Sharma, U. (2006). Primary school teachers' perceptions of inclusive education in Victoria, Australia. *International Journal of Special Education*, 21(1), 42-52.
- Subbey, M. (2020). Awareness of basic school heads in Agona Swedru towards the policy of inclusive education in the Ghana Education Service. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(3), 341-350.
- Sutton, J., & Austin, Z. (2015). Qualitative research: Data collection, analysis, and management. *The Canadian journal of hospital pharmacy*, 68(3), 226.
- Tait, K., & Purdie, N. (2000). Attitudes toward disability: Teacher education for inclusive environments in an Australian university. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 47(1), 25-38.
- Tsutsui, K., & Wotipka, C. M. (2004). Global civil society and the international human rights movement: Citizen participation in human rights international nongovernmental organizations. *Social Forces*, 83(2), 587-620.
- UNESCO. (2005). Guidelines for inclusion: *Ensuring access to education for all*. Paris, France: UNESCO.
- Van Lange, P. A., Kruglanski, A. W., & Higgins, E. T. (2012). Theories of social psychology: An introduction. *Handbook of theories of social psychology*, 1-8.
- Van Reusen, A. K., Shoho, A. R., & Barker, K. S. (2000). High school teacher attitudes toward inclusion. *The high school journal*, 84(2), 7-20.
- Vaz, S., Wilson, N., Falkmer, M., Sim, A., Scott, M., Cordier, R., & Falkmer, T. (2015). Factors associated with primary school teachers' attitudes towards the inclusion of students with disabilities. *PloS one*, 10(8), e0137002.
- Walther-Thomas, C. S. (1997). Co-teaching experiences: The benefits and problems that teachers and principals report over time. *Journal of learning disabilities*, 30(4), 395-407.
- Wehmeyer, M. L., & Palmer, S. B. (2003). Adult outcomes for students with cognitive disabilities three-years after high school: The impact of self-determination. *Education and training in developmental disabilities*, 131-144.
- Weisberg, H., Krosnick, J. A., & Bowen, B. D. (1996). *An introduction to survey research, polling, and data analysis*. Sage.

- Weisel, A., & Dror, O. (2006). School climate, sense of efficacy and Israeli teachers' attitudes toward inclusion of students with special needs. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, 1(2), 157-174.
- Weisman, E. M., & Garza, S. A. (2002). Preservice teacher attitudes toward diversity: Can one class make a difference?. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 35(1), 28-34.
- Weissbrodt, D., & Farley, R. (1994). The UNESCO Human Rights Procedure: An Evaluation. *Hum. Rts. Q.*, 16, 391.
- Westwood, P., & Graham, L. (2003). Inclusion of students with special needs: Benefits and obstacles perceived by teachers in New South Wales and South Australia. *Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties*, 8(1), 3-15.
- Westwood, P., & Graham, L. (2003). Inclusion of students with special needs: Benefits and obstacles perceived by teachers in New South Wales and South Australia. *Australian Journal of Learning Difficulties*, 8(1), 3-15.
- Wishart, J. G., & Manning, G. (1996). Trainee teachers' attitudes to inclusive education for children with Down's syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 40(1).
- Wolfberg, P., LePage, P., & Cook, E. (2009). Innovations in Inclusive Education: Two Teacher Preparation Programs at the San Francisco State University. *International Journal of Whole Schooling*, 5(2), 16-36.
- Wonka, A. (2015). The European Commission. In *European Union* (pp. 83-106). Routledge.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). Designing case studies. *Qualitative research methods*, 5(14), 359-386.
- Young, J. (1999). *The exclusive society: Social exclusion, crime and difference in late modernity*. Sage.
- Yuker, H. E. (1970). The measurement of attitudes toward disabled persons.
- Zimbardo, P. G., & Leippe, M. R. (1991). *The psychology of attitude change and social influence*. McGraw-Hill Book Company.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Questionnaire for Teachers

UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA

DEPARTMENT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

I Safia Banga Issah Graduate student of the University of Education, Winneba. As part of the academic requirement, I am conducting a research on teachers perceptions towards inclusive education of persons with disabilities in inclusive schools within Ahanta West Municipality. I assure you that any information provided shall be used solely for academic purposes, confidentiality is assured.

Please tick and specify when appropriate Section A: Background characteristics.

1. Gender: (a) Male (b) Female
2. Age: (a) Less than 30 years (b) 30-39 years (c) Divorced (d) Separated
(e) Widowed & above
3. Marital status (a) Single (b) Married (c) Divorced (d) Separated
(e) Widowed
4. Educational qualifications (a) Teacher certificate (b) Diploma in education
(c) First Degree (d) Masters (e) Postgraduate Diploma (f) others
5. Teaching Experience (a) 1- 5years (b) 6— 10 years. (c). 11 – 15 years
(d) 16-20yrs (e) 21 years and above
6. How many pupils with disabilities do you have in your class?
.....
7. Which types of disabilities do pupils have in your class, and which are you familiar with?
Spinal Bifida Cerebral Palsy Duchene Muscular Dystrophy
Epilepsy Musculoskeletal Conditions ADHD Visually Impaired Language

Impairment [] Hard of Hearing [] Speech Impediments []

Section B: Main Research Objectives

8. What is your understanding of inclusive education?

.....

Perceptions of early grade teachers towards inclusive education. Respond to each statement by indicating whether you SD =Strongly Disagree; D=Disagree; U =Undecided,

A=agree; SA= Strongly Agree.

Statement	SD	D	U	A	SA
9. I believe children with disability should be given special attention by their teachers					
10. I wish I could spend time teaching children with disabilities in my class/school					
11. There is nothing Wrong with including children with disabilities with other children in general class setting					
12. If I have my way, -I will avoid teaching children with disabilities					
13. I naturally feel excited when I see children with disabilities that wants to learn with other students					
14. I try as much as possible to encourage co-teachers to attend to the needs of children with disabilities					
15. I believe all children (whether disabled or able should enjoy equal right from teachers)					

16. Generally, how would you describe your perception towards the education of pupils with disabilities in an inclusive classroom?

.....

17. In what ways do your perception influence your teaching of special needs children in inclusive schools?

.....
.....
.....


18. What factors influence the perception of early grade teachers in the Municipal towards inclusive education?

.....
.....

19. What challenges do you face in teaching of special needs children in inclusive schools?

.....
.....
.....
.....

20. What factors can contribute to the successful Inclusion of children with disabilities in your class) school?



APPENDIX B
Interview Guide for Teachers

UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, WINNEBA

DEPARTMENT OF EARLY CHILDHOOD EDUCATION

What perceptions do early grade teachers in the Ahanta west have towards children with disabilities in inclusive schools?

What is your understanding of inclusive education?

- Do you believe children with disabilities need to be given special attention by their teachers?
- Is there something wrong with including children with disabilities with other children in general class setting?
- Do you naturally feel excited when you see? Children with disabilities that want to learn with other students?
- Do you try as much as possible to encourage co-teachers to attend to the needs of children with disabilities?
- Do you believe all children whether disabled or able should enjoy equal right from teachers?

In what ways do early grade teachers perceptions influence their teaching of special needs children in inclusive schools?

a. Do you wish you could spend more time teaching children with disabilities in my class/school?


b. If you have your way, will you avoid teaching children with disabilities?

Do your perception towards children with special needs influence your teaching?

Give reasons for your answer.

What factors influence the perceptions of early grade teachers in the Ahanta west Municipal towards inclusive education?

- Does training influence your perception towards inclusive education?
- Does availability of educational resources influence your perception towards inclusive education?
- Does age-teaching experience influence your perceptions towards inclusive education?
- Does motivation and encouragement from head teachers and special needs education coordinators, influence your perception towards inclusive education?
- Does your past experiences influence your perception towards inclusive education?
- Are there any things that influence the perception of early grade teachers in the Municipal towards inclusive education? please specify.



.....

.....

.....

What inherent challenges do early grade teachers face in teaching of special needs children in inclusive schools?

.....

.....

.....

What challenges do you face in, teaching of special needs children in inclusive schools?

APPENDIX C
Observation Guide (Field Note)

OBSERVATION IN THE CLASSROOM

Pupils with disabilities answer teachers' quotations in the classroom

Pupils with disabilities feel comfortable sitting beside their non-disabled peers


Pupils with intellectual disabilities participate in the group discussion with their non-disabled peers

Pupils with disabilities initiate interaction with their non-disabled peers

Pupils with disabilities sustain interaction with their non-disabled peers

Observation outside the classroom

- Pupils with disabilities play with peers with their non-disabled peers
- Pupils with disabilities participate in, grounds work
- Pupils with disabilities socialize with their non-disabled friends
- Pupils with disabilities feel happy playing with their non-disabled peers



THANK YOU